CHAPTER 5

The Mathematics Curriculum

5

The first part of Chapter 5 presents information about the curricular goals in the TIMSS 1999 countries, referred to as the intended curriculum. Data are provided about how the curriculum is supported and monitored within each country and the relationship between national testing and the curriculum. The second part of the chapter contains teachers' reports about the mathematics topics actually studied in their classrooms, also known as the implemented curriculum.





In comparing achievement across countries, it is important to consider differences in students' curricular experiences and how they may affect the mathematics they have studied. At the most fundamental level, students' opportunity to learn the content, skills, and processes tested in the TIMSS 1999 assessment depends to a great extent on the curricular goals and intentions inherent in each country's policies for mathematics education. Just as important as what students are expected to learn, however, is what their teachers choose to teach them. The lessons provided by the teacher ultimately determine what mathematics students are taught.

Chapter 5 presents information about the curricular goals in the TIMSS 1999 countries and teachers' reports about the mathematics content studied. Teacher's instructional programs for their classes are usually guided by an "official curriculum" that describes the mathematics education that should be provided. The official curriculum can be communicated by means of documents or statements of various sorts (often called guides, guidelines, or frameworks) prepared by the education ministry or by national or regional education departments. These documents or statements, together with supporting material such as instructional guides or mandated textbooks, are referred to as the *intended curriculum*.

To collect information about the intended mathematics curriculum at the eighth grade in each of the TIMSS 1999 countries, the National Research Coordinators responsible for implementing the study completed questionnaires and participated in interviews. As part of the process, information was gathered about factors related to supporting and monitoring the implementation of the official curriculum, including the availability of teacher training, instructional materials, assessments, and audits aligned with the curriculum.

In many cases, teachers need to interpret and modify the intended curriculum according to their perceptions of the needs and abilities of their classes, and this evolves into the *implemented curriculum*. Research has shown that the implemented curriculum, even in highly regulated educational systems, is not identical to the intended curriculum. To collect data about the implemented curriculum, the mathematics teachers of the students tested in TIMSS 1999 completed questionnaires about whether students had been taught the various mathematics topics covered in the test.



Mathematics Curriculum



| | National or Regional Curriculum | Year Curriculum Introduced | Status of Curriculum |
|----------------------------|------------------------------------|----------------------------|--|
| Australia | Regional & Local | 1995-1998 | In revision (2 states); not being revised (3 states); no curriculum statement (3 states) |
| Belgium (Flemish) | National | 1997 | As introduced |
| Bulgaria | National | 1997 | As introduced |
| Canada | Regional | 1997-1998 (most provinces) | As introduced |
| Chile | National | 1980 | In revision |
| Chinese Taipei | National | 1997 | In revision |
| Cyprus | National | 1987 | In revision |
| Czech Republic | National | 1996 | In revision |
| England | National | 1995 | In revision, same structure with mino revisions (to be implemented 2000/0 |
| Finland | National | 1994 | As introduced |
| Hong Kong, SAR | National | 1987 | In revision |
| Hungary | National | 1986 | In revision |
| Indonesia | National | 1994 | In revision |
| Iran, Islamic Rep. | National | 1985 | As introduced |
| Israel | National | 1990 | As introduced |
| Italy | National | 1979 | As introduced |
| Japan | National | 1993 | As introduced |
| Jordan | National | 1993-1994 | In revision |
| Korea, Rep. of | National | 1995 | As introduced |
| Latvia (LSS) | National | 1992 | In revision |
| Lithuania | National | 1997 | In revision |
| Macedonia, Rep. of | National | 1979 (adaptations in 1995) | As introduced |
| Malaysia | National | 1990 | In revision |
| Moldova | National | 1991 | In revision |
| Morocco | National | 1991 | In revision |
| Netherlands | National | 1993 | As introduced |
| New Zealand | National | 1993 | As introduced |
| Philippines | National | 1998 | In revision |
| Romania | National | 1993 | In revision |
| Russian Federation | National | 1997 | In revision |
| Singapore | National | 1993 | In revision |
| Slovak Republic | National | - | - |
| Slovenia | National | 1983 | In revision |
| South Africa | National | 1996 | In revision |
| Thailand | National | 1990 | In revision |
| Tunisia | National | 1997 | As introduced |
| Turkey | National | 1991 | In revision |
| United States ¹ | Regional & Local | 1994-1999 | As of 1999, 49 of 50 states completed standards |

Background data provided by National Research Coordinators.

A dash (-) indicates data are not available.

United States: The NCTM standards were developed in 1989 and are in revision. As of 1999, most states had developed content standards. Currently, many states are in the process of updating and revising their standards.

How Do Countries Support and Monitor Curriculum Implementation?

Education systems use different ways to achieve the best match between the intended and the implemented curriculum. For example, teachers can be trained in the content and pedagogical approaches specified in the curriculum guides. Another way to help ensure alignment is to develop instructional materials, including textbooks, instructional guides, and ministry notes, that are tailored to the curriculum. Systems can also monitor implementation by means of school inspection or audit. The different methods used by the TIMSS 1999 countries are shown in Exhibit 5.2. It is assumed that monitoring implementation encourages teachers to use the official curriculum in planning their teaching programs. Testing and assessment of the intended curriculum are also widely used to support and monitor curriculum implementation; these are addressed in Exhibits 5.3 and 5.4.

Of the methods for supporting and monitoring curriculum implementation shown in Exhibit 5.2, 10 countries reported using all six, and a further 14 countries used five. Nearly all countries (34) used in-service teacher education, and most countries (31) used mandated or recommended textbooks. Ministry notes and directives, or a system of school inspection or audit, were used in 30 countries. Beyond the methods included in the questionnaire, a majority of representatives from the TIMSS national centers reported in interviews that mathematics specialists were employed to advise mathematics teachers.

Methods Used to Support or Monitor Curriculum Implementation*



| | Pre-Service Teacher Education | In-Service Teacher Education | Mandated or Recommended Textbook(s) | Instructional or Pedagogical Guide | Ministry Notes and Directives | System of School Inspection or Audit |
|----------------------------|-------------------------------------|------------------------------------|---|--|----------------------------------|---|
| Australia ¹ | • | • | | • | • | |
| Belgium (Flemish) | • | • | | • | • | • |
| Bulgaria | • | • | • | | • | • |
| Canada ² | • | • | • | • | • | |
| Chile | | | • | | • | |
| Chinese Taipei | • | • | • | • | | • |
| Cyprus | | • | • | | • | • |
| Czech Republic | • | | • | | • | • |
| England | • | • | | | | • |
| Finland | • | • | • | • | | |
| Hong Kong, SAR | • | • | • | • | • | • |
| Hungary | • | • | • | • | • | |
| Indonesia | | • | • | • | • | • |
| Iran, Islamic Rep. | • | • | • | • | • | • |
| Israel | • | • | • | | • | |
| Italy | | • | | • | • | • |
| Japan | | • | • | • | • | • |
| Jordan | | • | • | • | • | • |
| Korea, Rep. of | • | • | • | • | • | • |
| Latvia (LSS) | • | • | • | • | • | • |
| Lithuania | | • | • | | • | |
| Macedonia, Rep. Of | • | • | • | • | | • |
| Malaysia | • | • | • | • | • | • |
| Moldova | | • | • | | • | • |
| Morocco | • | • | • | • | • | • |
| Netherlands | • | • | | • | • | • |
| New Zealand | • | • | | | | • |
| Philippines | | • | • | • | • | • |
| Romania | • | • | • | • | • | • |
| Russian Federation | • | • | • | • | • | • |
| Singapore | • | • | • | • | • | • |
| Slovak Republic | • | | • | | • | • |
| Slovenia | • | • | • | • | | • |
| South Africa | • | • | • | • | | • |
| Thailand | • | • | • | • | • | • |
| Tunisia | | • | • | • | • | • |
| Turkey | | • | • | | • | • |
| United States ³ | + | + | + | + | + | + |

 Country reported that method is used to support or monitor the implementation of the national/regional curriculum at grade 8

+ Not applicable nationally

Background data provided by National Research Coordinators.

Other than public examinations and system-wide assessments described in Exhibits 5.3 and 5.4, respectively.

¹ Australia: Results shown are for the majority of states/territories.

 $[\]ensuremath{^{2}}$ Canada: Results shown are for the majority of provinces.

³ United States: Methods are implemented by individual states and vary from state to state. As of 1998, 13 of 50 have policies on textbook/materials selection; 8 of 50 states have policies recommending textbook/materials.

What Countries Have Public Examinations in Mathematics?

Using public examinations as a way to select students for university or academic tracks in secondary school can be an important motivating factor for student achievement. Exhibit 5.3 shows information on public exami-

Public Examinations in Mathematics



| | Public Exams/ | Grade(s) | Purpose/Consequences |
|----------------------------|---------------|--|---|
| | Awards | | |
| Australia | Yes | 12 | Certification and selection for tertiary education |
| Belgium (Flemish) | No | | |
| Bulgaria | Yes | 7/8, 12 | Candidates for profile schools (grade 7 or 8); certification and entrance to university not taken by all students (grade 12) |
| Canada ¹ | Yes | 3,6,8 (1 province); 10, 11(1 province); 12 (4 provinces) | Feedback to system and schools; certification (grade 12) |
| Chile | Yes | 12 (4 provinces) | Entry to university |
| Chinese Taipei | Yes | 9, 12 | Entry to secondary school (grade 9); entry to university (grade 12) |
| Cyprus | Yes | 12 | Certification and entry to university (grade 12); a certification exam occurs on a local level for grade 9 |
| Czech Republic | Yes | 13 | Certification (mathematics can be chosen as one of four subjects for leaving examination) |
| England | Yes | 10, 12 | Certification (grade 10), certification and entry to university (grade 12); feedback to system and schools |
| Finland | Yes | 12 | Certification and selection for tertiary education |
| Hong Kong, SAR | Yes | 6, 11, 13 | School placement (grade 6); certification and placement for 12th grade (grade 11); placement in tertiary institutions (grade 13) |
| Hungary | Yes | 12 | Certification and entry to university |
| Indonesia | Yes | 6, 9, 12 | Leaving exam and selection for junior secondary school (grade 6); selection for senior secondary school (grade 9); leaving exam (grade 12); system-level feedback, in some cases school- and classroom-level feedback |
| Iran, Islamic Rep. | Yes | 11, 12 | Certification (grade 11); entry to tertiary education (grade 12); in addition, provincial exams are administered at grade 8 |
| Israel | Yes | 11 or 12 | Entry to higher education |
| Italy | Yes | 13 | Certification and entry to university |
| Japan | Yes | 9, 12 | Entry to prefectural and municipal upper secondary schools (grade 9); entry to national, prefectural and municipal universities (grade 12) |
| Jordan | Yes | 12 | Certification and entry to tertiary education |
| Korea, Rep. of | Yes | 12 | College entrance exam for selection of students |
| Latvia (LSS) | Yes | 9, 12 | Certification |
| Lithuania | Yes | 9, 12 | Graduation from Basic and Upper Secondary schools |
| Macedonia, Rep. Of | Yes | 12 | Certification and entry to university; the exam constitutes 40% of the required points for entry to university with the remaining points based on university entry exams |
| Malaysia | Yes | 6, 9, 11, 13 | Feedback to system and schools; achievement test (grade 6); entry to course tracks (grade 9); certification and end of secondary (grade 11); certification and entry to university (grade 13) |
| Moldova | Yes | 9, 11/12 | Certification and selection for high school (grade 9); graduation (grade 11 or 12 depending on school) |
| Morocco | Yes | 6, 9, 10, 11, 12 | Remedial test for retention purposes (grade 6); certification, selection to secondary, and selection to courses (grade 9); certification and entry to tertiary (grade 12); feedback to system and schools |
| Netherlands | Yes | 10, 11, 12 | End-of-track examinations; exams recommended at grades 6 and 8 |
| New Zealand | Yes | 10, 12 | Certification and course selection (grade 10); entry to tertiary education (grade 12); feedback to system and schools; informal between-school comparisons |
| Philippines | Yes | 6, 10 | Feedback to system and schools |
| Romania | Yes | 8, 12 | Certification (grade 8); certification (grade 12; mathematics can be chosen as one of 7 subjects) |
| Russian Federation | Yes | 9, 11 | Certification |
| Singapore | Yes | 6, 10, 12 | Selection into courses; certification and entry to university; feedback to system and schools |
| Slovak Republic | Yes | 12 | Certification (mathematics can be chosen as one of four subjects for leaving exam) |
| Slovenia | Yes | 8, 12 | Entry to secondary school (grade 8); certification and entry to tertiary education (grade 12) |
| South Africa | Yes | 12 | Certification and selection for tertiary education |
| Thailand | Yes | 12 | Entry to university |
| Tunisia | Yes | 6, 9, 13 | Regional exam for promotion (grade 6); feedback to system and schools, selection for schools and courses, and promotion (grade 9); certification and entry to university (grade 13) |
| Turkey | Yes | 8, 11 | Placement in specialized schools for some students (grade 8); entry to university (grade 11) |
| United States ² | Yes | varies | Primarily feedback to system and schools; in 8 states grade promotion is dependent on results; in 18 states graduation is dependent on results of grade 12 exams |

United States: As of 1997-1998, public examinations are administered in 47 of 50 states at grades 7-8 or 9-12.

¹ Canada: Public examinations are administered in 5 of 10 provinces.

What Countries Have System-Wide Assessment in Mathematics?

Although national public examinations can provide information of interest to national and regional policy makers, their main purpose is to make decisions about individual students. In comparison, system-wide assessments are designed primarily to inform policy makers about matters such as national standards of achievement of the intended curriculum objectives, strengths and weaknesses in the curriculum or how it is being implemented, and whether educational achievement is improving or deteriorating.

Exhibit 5.4 summarizes information about national assessments in mathematics. Such assessments were conducted in about two-thirds of the participating countries. Half of these countries assessed all students in the grade, and the other half a sample of students from the grade. Most countries tested two or three grades, with Hong Kong (nine grades) and Korea (seven grades) testing the most grades.

Generally, the purpose of the system-wide assessments was to provide feed-back to government policy makers and the public. Feedback to individual schools was a feature reported by some countries whose methodology, namely assessment of the entire grade level, allowed for this type of reporting. In Singapore, the 20 schools found to provide the greatest value-added measures received monetary rewards, as did teachers of the top 25 percent of classes in Chile.

In addition to collecting information about examinations and assessments, questionnaires and interviews were used to determine whether, and to what extent, explicit achievement standards were a feature of intended curricula (see Exhibit R2.1 in the reference section). Twenty-two countries reported that such standards were incorporated in their curricula or related documents. However, the term "achievement standards"

System-Wide Assessments in Mathematics



| | System-Wide | Gra | des | |
|------------------------|--------------------------|---|--|--|
| | Assessments ¹ | Entire Grade Level | Sample from Grade Level | Purpose/Consequences |
| Australia ² | Yes | 3, 5 (all states) 7 (four states) | | System-level, school-level, and individual student-level feedback |
| Belgium (Flemish) | No | | | |
| Bulgaria | Yes | | 4, 8 | System-level feedback, administered only in 1998 |
| Canada ³ | Yes | 3, 6, 9 (5 provinces); 5, 8, 11 (1 province); 4, 7, 10 (1 province); 12 (1 province) | Ages 13 and 16 nationally (most provinces) | System- and school-level feedback |
| Chile | Yes | 4, 8, 10 | | System-level, school-level, class-level feedback; top 25% of teachers are given monetary rewards; usually one grade level assessed each year |
| Chinese Taipei | No | | | |
| Cyprus | No | | | |
| Czech Republic | No | | | |
| England | Yes | 1, 5, 8 | | School-level feedback; course selection and placement for grade 9 |
| Finland | Yes | | 4, 6, 9 | System-level feedback |
| Hong Kong, SAR | Yes | | 1 - 9 | System-level feedback |
| Hungary | Yes | | 4, 6, 8, 10, 12 | System-level, school-level, and individual-level feedback |
| Indonesia | Yes | | various grades | System-level feedback, assessments given irregularly at different primary grades |
| Iran, Islamic Rep. | No | | | |
| Israel | Yes | | 4, 8 | System-level feedback |
| Italy | Yes | | 6, 8, 10, 13 | System-level feedback; first administered in 1999 with a grade 4 assessment instituted in 2000 |
| Japan | Yes | | 5, 6, 7, 8, 9 | System-level feedback |
| Jordan | Yes | | 4, 5, 8, 10 | System-level feedback; monitoring reform impact; curricular revisions |
| Korea, Rep. of | Yes | 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 10, 11 | | System-level feedback |
| Latvia (LSS) | No | | | |
| Lithuania | No | | | |
| Macedonia, Rep. Of | Yes | | 4, 5, 6, 7, 8 | System-level feedback and research purposes (projects and curriculum development) |
| Malaysia | Yes | 6, 9, 11, 13 | | System- and school-level feedback; "good schools" publicized |
| Moldova | No | | | |
| Morocco | Yes | 6, 9, 10, 11, 12 | | System- and school-level feedback |
| Netherlands | Yes | 10, 11, 12 | 6 | System-level feedback |
| New Zealand | Yes | | 3, 7 | System-level feedback |
| Philippines | Yes | 6, 10 | | System- and school-level feedback (the assessment was sample-based up until 1999) |
| Romania | No | | | |
| Russian Federation | Yes | | various grades | Irregularly for research purposes |
| Singapore | Yes | 6,10,12 | | System- and school-level feedback; selection into courses, certification and entry to university |
| Slovak Republic | No | | | |
| Slovenia | No | | | Assessments administered in grades 1-8 from 1991-1996 |
| South Africa | No | | | |
| Thailand | Yes | 6, 9, 12 | | System-level feedback |
| Tunisia | Yes | 4, 6, 9, 13 | | System- and school-level feedback; may lead to redistribution of teachers in the regions; assessments at grades 4 and 6 developed regionally |
| Turkey | Yes | | 5, 8, 11 | System- and school-level feedback |
| United States | Yes | | 4, 8, 12 | National and state-level feedback |

Background data provided by National Research Coordinators.

Public examinations are also used for system-wide assessment purposes in these countries: Malaysia, Morocco, Netherlands, Philippines, Singapore, Tunisia and Turkey.

 $^{2\,}$ Australia: System-wide assessments are administered in 3 of 8 states/territories.

 $^{^{\}rm 3}$ $\,$ Canada: System-wide assessments are administered in 5 of 10 provinces.

How Much Instructional Time Is Recommended for Mathematics?

The different percentages of time devoted to mathematics instruction at different grades highlight one of the difficulties in investigating the relationship between achievement and instructional time across countries. If instructional time is measured only for the eighth grade, the total time for which students in a country have been exposed to instruction in mathematics during their schooling may be under- or over-estimated. These data for grades 4, 6, and 8 provide a better estimate of students' intended instructional time for mathematics across the school years.

5.5

Percentages of instructional time designated for mathematics specified in the intended curricula for grades 4, 6, and 8 are shown in Exhibit 5.5. The pattern across countries shows that the percentage of time remains the same or decreases from grade 4 to grade 6 and again from grade 6 to grade 8, with 18 countries reporting a decrease in instructional time in mathematics from grade 6 to grade 8. Interestingly, the reverse pattern holds for science.1 Average percentages of time for mathematics instruction across all countries were 17 percent, 16 percent, and 13 percent for grades 4, 6, and 8, respectively. An opposite trend was found for Morocco and Tunisia, where instructional time for mathematics increased in the eighth grade. Cyprus data show a sharp drop from 17 percent in each of grades 4 and 6 to nine percent in grade 8. Percentages of total instructional time specified for mathematics ranged from eight percent at each of grades 4, 6, and 8 for Thailand to 20 percent or more for six countries at grade 4, two at grade 6, and one (Morocco) at grade 8. Schools' and teachers' reports of the percentage of instructional time actually devoted to the sciences at grade 8, shown in Exhibit 6.4 in the next chapter, generally correspond with the intended percentages reported in Exhibit 5.5.

¹ Martin, M.O., Mullis, I.V.S., Gonzalez, E.J., Gregory, K.D., Smith, T.A., Chrostowski, S.J., Garden, R.A., and O'Connor, K.M. (2000), TIMSS 1999 International Science Report: Findings from IEA's Repeat of the Third International Mathematics and Science Study at the Eighth Grade, Chestnut Hill, MA: Boston College.



| | Instruction | onal Time Spe Mathematics | | Comments |
|--------------------|-------------|------------------------------|---------|---|
| | Grade 4 | Grade 6 | Grade 8 | |
| Australia | N/S | N/S | N/S | At primary level, English and mathematics are given about the same amount of instructional time. The proportion of time decreases in secondary school. Some students do not study mathematics in their final year of secondary school. |
| Belgium (Flemish) | 18% | 18% | 15% | Instructional time varies from 10% to 16% in grades 9-10, and from 6% to 25% in grades 11-12. |
| Bulgaria | 16% | 13% | 13% | At grade 1, 18% of instructional time is devoted to mathematics. This decreases slightly to 15% at 5th grade and is 13% from grades 6-9. Instructional time ranges from 11-14% in grades 10-12. |
| Canada | 15% | 15% | 15% | For three provinces, there is no change in emphasis as students progress through school. For two provinces, the proportion of time dedicated to mathematics decreases and in one province it increases after grade 6. |
| Chile | 17% | 17% | 17% | The primary school curriculum states that 5 of 30 classes per week must be devoted to mathematics. |
| Chinese Taipei | 12% | 18% | 11% | nutrinutes. |
| Cyprus | 17% | 17% | 9% | |
| Czech Republic | 20% | 15% | 13% | |
| England | N/S | N/S | N/S | The national curriculum does not specify instructional time fo mathematics. The proposed curriculum assumes 126 hours per year for grade 4 (year 5), and 90 hours per year for grades 6 and 8 (years 7 and 9). |
| Finland | 16% | 16% | 10% | The curriculum framework indicates the minimum amount of instructional time on average for grade spans 1-6 and 7-9. Schools decide on instructional time for specific grades. |
| Hong Kong, SAR | 15% | 15% | 15% | Total instructional time on mathematics increases to 17.5% at grade 9 and 11-20% at grades 12 and 13. |
| Hungary | - | - | - | |
| Indonesia | 14% | 14% | 14% | |
| Iran, Islamic Rep. | 14% | 14% | 11% | |
| Israel | 15% | 14% | 13% | |
| Italy | N/S | 10-15% | 10-15% | The curriculum indicates 20% instructional time be devoted to mathematics and science as one subject. The exact distribution of time for each of these subjects is decided by the teacher. |
| Japan | 17% | 17% | 13% | Time devoted to mathematics is less in lower secondary school, especially at grade 7 where it is only 10%. However, mathematics instructional time at grade 8 is the same as Japanese language and social sciences. |
| Jordan | 18% | 15% | 13% | At grade 1 about 20% of instructional time is devoted to mathematics. This decreases slightly in other grades and is about 13% from grades 8 -10. |
| Korea, Rep. of | 14% | 13% | 12% | |
| Latvia (LSS) | 20% | 16% | 16% | |
| Lithuania | 17-22% | 14-17% | 13% | Mathematics is usually treated as an important subject since it is one of the two basic school exit exams at grade 10. |
| Macedonia, Rep. of | 20% | 17% | 13% | |
| Malaysia | 20% | 20% | 13% | From grade 8 through secondary school, the instructional time specified for mathematics remains about the same. The mathematics curriculum emphasizes understanding concepts and mastering processes (calculating, measuring, computing, communicating mathematically, and problem solving). Emphasis for the higher-level processes increases as students progress through school. |
| Moldova | 17% | 17% | 16% | |
| Morocco | 15% | 15% | 20% | |
| Netherlands | N/S | N/S | 10% | Students can choose to stop taking mathematics after grade 9, depending on their course of study. |
| New Zealand | N/S | N/S | N/S | All schools are required to teach mathematics as part of a "balanced curriculum." Schools decide on instructional time. In general, in primary school, mathematics is allocated the second highest proportion of instructional time, after language (which includes reading). Time for mathematics, science, and English are about the same in secondary school. |
| Philippines | 12% | 11% | 10% | To supplement the regular mathematics program, enrichment topics and activities are included in mathematics for grade 7, especially in the special science classes/schools. |
| Romania | 17% | 17% | 15% | |
| Russian Federation | 18% | 17% | 15% | Mathematics is given less emphasis than philology in grades 1-7. Emphasis on mathematics in grades 8-9 is still less than philology and is equal or slightly less than science and social science. |

Background data provided by National Research Coordinators. All data rounded to the nearest whole number.

N/S indicates instructional time not specified in the national/regional curriculum.

A dash (-) indicates data not available.

| | Instructional Time Specified for Mathematics | | | Comments | | |
|-----------------|--|---------|---------|---|--|--|
| | Grade 4 | Grade 6 | Grade 8 | | | |
| Singapore | 22% | 20% | 15% | Students are required to study mathematics, English and the mother-tongue language throughout primary and secondary school. Pupils who are planning to pursue further study in mathematics or a related discipline are offered an additional mathematics subject in grade 9. | | |
| Slovak Republic | - | - | - | | | |
| Slovenia | 23% | 16% | 16% | Instructional time for mathematics is relatively equal to instructional time for other subjects. | | |
| South Africa | N/S | N/S | N/S | | | |
| Thailand | 8% | 8% | 8% | There is no change in content, but there is change in depth. | | |
| Tunisia | 15% | 15% | 16% | Mathematics is given the most instructional time after the mother tongue, Arabic. Time devoted to mathematics remains constant, but the amount of instructional time for mathematics compared to other subjects increases in grades 4 and up. | | |
| Turkey | 13% | 13% | 13% | There is a tendency to enhance student-centered teaching and learning activities. | | |
| United States | N/S | N/S | N/S | States do not generally specify; it is largely a local decision. | | |

The challenge of maximizing opportunity to learn for students with widely differing abilities and interests is met differently in different countries. Exhibit 5.6 summarizes questionnaire and interview data on how countries dealt with this issue in organizing the intended curricula.

Some countries indicated using more than one method of dealing with individual differences among students, and in these cases the category describing the main method was reported. The most common approach, found in 24 countries, was to have the same intended curriculum for all students, but to recommend that teachers adapt the level and scope of their teaching to the abilities and needs of their students. Adaptations for individuals and classes were also recommended in the intended curricula of some countries with different levels of curricula or different curricula for different groups.

In the Czech Republic, England, and Israel, mathematics topics were taught at different levels with different groups. The Czech Republic had four levels, Israel three, and England nine. In England's curriculum, the levels were defined in terms of progressively more complex performance to be demonstrated. Among the countries with different curricula for different groups of students, Belgium (Flemish) and the Russian Federation each provided two different levels, Singapore three, and the Netherlands four.

National Research Coordinators from seven countries reported that their official mathematics curricula did not address the issue of differentiating instruction for grade 8 students with different abilities or interests, but this does not necessarily mean that schools and teachers in those countries did not make allowance for individual differences. Schools' reports on how they organize to accommodate students with different abilities or interests are shown in Exhibit R2.2 in the reference section. Substantial percentages of students in many countries were in schools that offered remedial mathematics, including several of the countries without specific curricular statements about differentiation.

R2.2

Exhibit 5.6

Differentiation of Instruction for Students with Different Abilities or Interests



| | Curriculum Addresses Differentiation | A D | pproaches to Addressing Students with ifferent Abilities or Interests at Grade 8 | | | | |
|----------------------------|--|---|--|---|--------------------------------|--|--|
| | | All Students, and Teachers Adapt to Students' Needs | with Different Levels for Different Groups | Different Curricula for Different Groups | Number of Curriculum Levels | | |
| Australia | Yes | Yes | No | No | 1 | | |
| Belgium (Flemish) | Yes | No | No | Yes | 2 | | |
| Bulgaria | Yes | Yes | No | No | 1 | | |
| Canada | Yes | Yes | No | No | 1 | | |
| Chile | No | | | | | | |
| Chinese Taipei | Yes | Yes | No | No | 1 | | |
| Cyprus | Yes | Yes | No | No | 1 | | |
| Czech Republic | Yes | No | Yes | No | 4 | | |
| England ¹ | Yes | No | Yes | No | 9 | | |
| Finland | Yes | Yes | No | No | 1 | | |
| Hong Kong, SAR | Yes | Yes | No | No | 1 | | |
| Hungary | Yes | Yes | No | No | 1 | | |
| Indonesia | No | | | | | | |
| Iran, Islamic Rep. | Yes | Yes | No | No | 1 | | |
| Israel | Yes | No | Yes | No | 3 | | |
| Italy | No | | | | | | |
| Japan | No | | | | | | |
| Jordan | Yes | Yes | No | No | 1 | | |
| Korea, Rep. of | Yes | Yes | No | No | 1 | | |
| Latvia (LSS) | No | | | | | | |
| Lithuania | No | | | | | | |
| Macedonia, Rep. Of | Yes | Yes | No | No | 1 | | |
| Malaysia | Yes | Yes | No | No | 1 | | |
| Moldova | No | | | | | | |
| Morocco | Yes | Yes | No | No | 1 | | |
| Netherlands | Yes | No | No | Yes | 4 | | |
| New Zealand | Yes | Yes | No | No | 1 | | |
| Philippines | Yes | Yes | No | No | 1 | | |
| Romania | Yes | Yes | No | No | 1 | | |
| Russian Federation | Yes | No | No | Yes | 2 | | |
| Singapore | Yes | No | No | Yes | 3 | | |
| Slovak Republic | Yes | Yes | No | No | 1 | | |
| Slovenia | Yes | Yes | No | No | 1 | | |
| South Africa | Yes | Yes | No | No | 1 | | |
| Thailand | Yes | Yes | No | No | 1 | | |
| Tunisia | Yes | Yes | No | No | 1 | | |
| Turkey | Yes | Yes | No | No | 1 | | |
| United States ² | Yes | Yes | No | No | 1 | | |

Background data provided by National Research Coordinators.

¹ England: While there is one "programme of study" for grades 6-8, the document identifies nine performance-levels describing the types and range of performance that pupils working at a particular level should demonstrate.

² United States: Most state standards are designed for all students.

What Are the Major Characteristics of the Intended Curriculum?

5.7

Exhibit 5.7 indicates the relative emphasis given to various aspects of mathematics instruction in the intended curriculum. As might be anticipated for students at this point in their schooling, major emphasis was most commonly placed on mastering basic skills and understanding mathematical concepts. Most countries moderately or strongly emphasized assessing student learning. Similarly, "real-life" applications of mathematics were encouraged in the curriculum of most countries, with 15 countries giving this approach major emphasis and 16 moderate emphasis. The Netherlands' intended curriculum was reported to emphasize this approach more than either mastering basic skills or understanding mathematics concepts. Communicating mathematically, an aspect of teaching and learning that has received increasing attention in recent years, was included in the curriculum of most countries and was accorded major emphasis in 13 countries. Similarly, recent efforts to improve students' abilities to apply their mathematical understandings have led to recommendations for more experience with novel problem-solving situations. Thirty-three countries reported at least moderate emphasis on solving non-routine problems.

The mathematical area with the greatest variation across countries' intended curricula was deriving formal proofs. It was given major emphasis in eight countries, moderate emphasis in 13, and minor or no emphasis in 16. Integration of mathematics with other subjects to some degree was a common aim across countries, and about half the countries placed some emphasis on a thematic approach. Working on mathematics projects was given minor or no emphasis in the intended curriculum of most countries, as was a multicultural approach.

| | Mastering Basic Skills | Understanding Mathematics Concepts | Real-life Applications of Mathematics | Communicating Mathematically | Solving Non- Routine Problems | Deriving Formal Proofs | Working on Mathematics Projects | Integration of Mathematics with Other School Subjects | Thematic Approach | Multicultural Approach | Assessing Student Learning |
|--|------------------------|---------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|---------------------------------|----------------------------------|------------------------|------------------------------------|--|-------------------|------------------------|----------------------------|
| Australia Belgium (Flemish) Bulgaria Canada Chile | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Chinese Taipei Cyprus Czech Republic England Finland | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Hong Kong, SAR Hungary Indonesia Iran, Islamic Rep. Israel | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Italy Japan Jordan Korea, Rep. Of Latvia (LSS) | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Lithuania Macedonia, Rep. Of Malaysia Moldova Morocco | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Netherlands New Zealand Philippines714 | 13 5849 | -1.T6a | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | |





Percentage of Students Whose Teachers Report the Subject Matter **Emphasized Most in Their Grade 8 Mathematics Class** Combined Combined Mainly Algebra, Algebra and Algebra Geometry Other Geometry, Number Geometry Number, etc. Australia Belgium (Flemish) 10 (3.3) 65 (3.6) 17 (2.3) 3 (1.2) 2 (1.3) 3 (2.3) 0 (0.0) 27 (4.2) 8 (3.2) 0 (0.0) Bulgaria 64 (4.7) 1 (0.8) Canada 26 (3.0) 53 (2.8) 6 (1.6) 6 (1.4) 1 (0.0) 9 (1.9) 72 (3.6) 15 (2.8) 0 (0.0) Chile 4 (1.7) 3 (1.3) 6 (1.9) Chinese Taipei 2 (1.1) 57 (4.2) 24 (3.6) 4 (1.7) 9 (2.6) 4 (1.6) Cyprus 1 (0.0) 71 (4.4) 21 (3.7) 7 (2.3) 0 (0.0) 0 (0.0) Czech Republic 0 (0.2) 76 (3.9) 19 (3.9) 4 (1.2) 0 (0.0) 0 (0.0) England 0 (0.0) 100 (0.0) 0 (0.0) 0 (0.0) 0 (0.0) 0 (0.0) Finland 32 (4.3) 46 (4.6) 12 (3.2) 3 (1.3) 4 (1.4) 3 (1.5) 13 (3.3) 4 (1.8) 5 (2.1) Hong Kong, SAR 7 (2.4) 60 (4.8) 11 (2.8) 0 (0.0) 0 (0.0) 11 (2.6) 75 (3.1) 8 (1.9) 5 (1.6) Hungary Indonesia 10 (3.0) 71 (4.9) 10 (2.5) 5 (2.2) 4 (2.7) 0 (0.4) Iran, Islamic Rep. 17 (4.1) 57 (4.3) 14 (3.1) 6 (2.1) 6 (2.1) 0 (0.0) Israel 1 (0.4) 35 (4.0) 42 (4.1) 19 (3.4) 1 (0.6) 2 (1.3) 2 (1.0) 67 (3.8) 22 (3.3) 5 (1.8) 4 (1.4) 1 (0.0) Italy 7 (2.0) 35 (4.0) 9 (2.5) 4 (1.6) 30 (4.1) 16 (3.1) Japan 20 (3.6) 71 (3.9) 1 (1.0) Jordan 3 (1.5) 3 (1.4) 2 (1.2) 2 (0.9) Korea, Rep. of 6 (1.9) 51 (4.0) 20 (3.1) 20 (3.4) 2 (1.1) Latvia (LSS) 1 (0.6) 71 (3.7) 20 (3.1) 7 (2.5) 0 (0.0) 0 (0.0) Third International Mathematics and Science Study (TIMSS), 1998-1999 Lithuania 42 (3.9) 0 (0.0) 28 (3.7) 17 (3.2) 1 (1.0) 11 (2.6) Macedonia, Rep. of 8 (2.5) 51 (4.5) 37 (4.1) 4 (2.4) 1 (0.5) 0 (0.0) 34 (4.3) 1 (0.0) 1 (0.0) 1 (0.6) Malaysia 61 (4.4) 3 (1.4) Moldova ΧХ ΧХ ΧХ ΧХ ΧХ ΧХ 10 (2.1) 34 (2.7) 20 (2.9) 20 (2.4) 13 (1.9) Morocco 3 (1.0) Netherlands 4 (3.2) 77 (4.6) 13 (2.9) 2 (1.1) 1 (0.8) 3 (1.6) 98 (1.3) 1 (0.0) 0 (0.0) 1 (0.9) 1 (0.0) New Zealand 0 (0.0) **Philippines** 42 (4.4) 47 (4.6) 2 (1.3) 3 (1.7) 1 (0.9) 4 (1.9) 4 (1.9) 72 (4.1) 2 (1.4) 1 (0.0) 0 (0.0) Romania 21 (3.3) **Russian Federation** 0 (0.0) 0 (0.0) 100 (0.0) 0 (0.0) 0 (0.0) 0 (0.0) Singapore 8 (2.3) 46 (4.5) 12 (2.9) 29 (3.7) 0 (0.0) 5 (1.7) Slovak Republic 12 (3.0) 74 (4.0) 7 (2.0) 4 (1.9) 0 (0.0) 4 (1.8) 5 (1.9) 77 (3.8) 2 (1.1) 0 (0.0) 14 (3.0) 1 (1.1) South Africa 3 (1.2) 59 (4.4) 25 (3.8) 10 (2.6) 3 (1.4) 1 (0.8) Thailand 44 (3.5) 47 (3.7) 0 (0.0) 4 (1.6) 2 (1.0) 2 (1.4) 8 (2.5) 41 (4.4) 21 (3.3) 8 (2.4) 22 (3.4) 1 (0.7) Tunisia Turkey EA. **United States** 28 (3.0) 32 (3.4) 6 (1.6) 27 (2.7) 1 (0.8) 6 (1.4) SOURCE: 19 (0.5) 8 (0.4) 3 (0.2) 2 (0.2) International Avg. 14 (0.4) 55 (0.6)

Background data provided by teachers.

A dash (-) indicates data are not available.

Lithuania tested the same cohort of students as other countries, but later in 1999, at the beginning of the next school year.

^() Standard errors appear in parentheses. Because results are rounded to the nearest whole number, some totals may appear inconsistent.

An "r" indicates teacher response data available for 70-84% of students. An "s" indicates teacher response data available for 50-69% of students. An "x" indicates teacher response data available for <50% of students.





| | Curriculum Contains Recommendations About Use of Calculators | Type of Policy | Comments |
|--------------------|---|---|--|
| Australia | Yes | Unrestricted Use | Calculators are unrestricted as a teaching/learning tool. Computational skills like mental arithmetic are also promoted. |
| Belgium (Flemish) | Yes | Restricted Use | Calculators are permitted on a limited basis so that students can master the basic skills of computation and mental calculation. Calculator usage increases and is compulsory after grade 9. |
| Bulgaria | No | | |
| Canada | Yes | Unrestricted, 2 provinces, Restricted, 8 provinces | In general, calculator use is encouraged, except in lower grades in some provinces. |
| Chile | No | Destricted Her | Coloridateur ann ant allanund an anternan ann an an ann an an ann an an an an |
| Chinese Taipei | Yes | Restricted Use | Calculators are not allowed on entrance exams so teachers limit their use in the classroom. |
| Cyprus | Yes | Restricted Use | Calculators are not permitted in final exams until grade 10. |
| Czech Republic | Yes | Restricted Use | Computational skills are practiced without calculators. |
| England | Yes | Restricted Use | Calculator use increases as students progress through school. The emphasis is on pupils having a range of skills: calculator, pencil and paper, and mental computation. Graphic calculators are required at higher levels. |
| Finland | Yes | Unrestricted Use | Although permitted at the lower levels, policy indicates that the use of calculators is more appropriate at the upper levels (grades 7 - 9). |
| Hong Kong, SAR | Yes | Unrestricted Use | Calculators may be used for exploration only from grades 1 to 6. No restrictions are set on the use of calculators for students from grade 7 onwards. |
| Hungary | Yes | Restricted Use | Calculator use considered appropriate in higher grades. |
| Indonesia | Yes | Restricted Use | Calculators are not permitted in lower grades. |
| Iran, Islamic Rep. | No | | |
| Israel | Yes | Unrestricted Use | Calculators are permitted through all school levels (grades 1-12). |
| Italy | No | | |
| Japan | Yes | Unrestricted Use | Calculators are not permitted until grade 5. |
| Jordan | Yes | Restricted Use | The curriculum does not contain an explicit policy on classroom use of calculators, but policy does indicate that calculator usage is prohibited during tests. |
| Korea, Rep. of | Yes | Restricted Use | Currently, calculators are not used in class. However, the new curriculum, to be implemented in 2000/1, recommends the wide use of calculators. |
| Latvia (LSS) | No | | |
| Lithuania | No | | |
| Macedonia, Rep. Of | No | | |
| Malaysia | No | | Calculators are used as learning aids. At the secondary level, calculators may be used in public exams when calculation and computational skills are not being assessed. |
| Moldova | Yes | Restricted Use | For specific problems, a calculator is acceptable. |
| Morocco | No | | |
| Netherlands | Yes | Unrestricted Use | Calculators are compulsory at national exam level. In grades 11-12 the graphic calculator is compulsory for mathematics students. |
| New Zealand | Yes | Unrestricted Use | The policy assumes that calculators will be available and used "appropriately" at all levels. |
| Philippines | No | | In the high school, calculators are used mainly for statistics and trigonometry. |
| Romania | No | | |
| Russian Federation | Yes | Restricted Use | There is some use of calculators in elementary school. Recommended use of calculators on a level with oral and written calculations in secondary school. Students are not allowed to use calculators on public exams in grades 9 and 11. |
| Singapore | Yes | Restricted Use | In primary school, students are not allowed to use calculators in mathematics. In secondary school, the use of calculators is allowed from grade 7, though the use is restricted. |
| Slovak Republic | - | - | |
| Slovenia | No | | |
| South Africa | Yes | Restricted Use | As students progress through school, the policy becomes less restricted. For grades 8-12, the policy restriction indicates that students may not use a programmable calculator. |
| Thailand | No | | |
| Tunisia | Yes | Restricted Use | Calculators are not permitted until grade 8. |
| Turkey | No | | |
| United States | Yes | Varies from state to state | |

Background data provided by National Research Coordinators.

A dash (-) indicates data are not available.

SOURCE: IEA Third International Mathematics and Science Study (TIMSS), 1998-1999.

^{*} The use of calculators on TIMSS was not allowed in 1995 or in 1999.

5.10

R2.3-R2.7 R









It should be noted that some countries reported having different curricula or different levels of curriculum for different groups of students, as detailed in Exhibit 5.6. Not surprisingly, then, these countries often reported that about half, only the more able (25 percent), or the top 10 percent of students were expected to have been taught substantial percentages of the topics, in particular those in geometry and algebra. The three countries with the lowest percentages of topics overall intended to be taught to nearly all students have differentiated curricula – England, Israel, and the Netherlands.

In addition, if content within a topic area required different responses, National Research Coordinators chose the response that best represented the entire topic area and noted the discrepancy (see Exhibit A.11 in the appendix for details).

- Whole numbers including place values, factorization and operations (+, -, x, ÷)
- Understanding and representing common fractions
- Computations with common fractions
- Understanding and representing decimal fractions
- Computations with decimal fractions
- Relationships between common and decimal fractions, ordering of fractions
- Rounding whole numbers and decimal fractions
- Estimating the results of computations
- Number lines
- Whole number powers of integers
- Computations with percentages and problems involving percentages
- Simple computations with negative numbers
- Square roots (of perfect squares less than 144), small integer exponents
- Prime factors, highest common factor, lowest common multiple, rules for divisibility
- Sets, subsets, union, intersection, Venn diagrams
- Rate problems
- Concepts of ratio and proportion; ratio and proportion problems

Measurement

- Units of measurement; standard metric units
- Reading measurement instruments
- Estimates of measurement; accuracy of measurement
- Conversions of units between measurement systems
- Perimeter and area of simple shapes triangles, rectangles and circles
- Perimeter and area of combined shapes
- Volume of rectangular solids i.e., Volume = length x width x height
- Volume of other solids (e.g., pyramids, cylinders, cones, spheres)
- Computing with measurements (+, -, x, ÷)
- Scales applied to maps and models

Data Representation, Analysis, and Probability

- Collecting and graphing data from a survey
- Representation and interpretation of data in graphs, charts, and tables
- Arithmetic mean
- Median and mode
- Simple probabilities understanding and calculations

■ Topics included in the curriculum and teacher questionnaires (intended and implemented curriculum).

Topics also included in the curriculum questionnaire (intended curriculum).



Geometry

- Cartesian coordinates of points in a plane
- Coordinates of points on a given straight line
- Simple two dimensional geometry angles on a straight line, parallel lines, triangles and quadrilaterals
- Congruence and similarity
- Angles (acute, right, supplementary, etc.)
- Pythagorean theorem (without proof)
- Symmetry and transformations (reflection and rotation)
- Visualization of three-dimensional shapes
- Geometric constructions with straight-edge and compass
- Regular polygons and their properties names (e.g., hexagon and octagon), sum of angles, etc.
- Proofs (formal deductive demonstrations of geometric relationships)
- Sine, cosine, and tangent in right-angle triangles
- Nets of solids

Algebra

- Number patterns and simple relations
- Writing expressions for general terms in number pattern sequence
- Translating from verbal descriptions to symbolic expressions
- Simple algebraic expressions
- Evaluating simple algebraic expressions by substitution of given value of variables
- Representing situations algebraically; formulas
- Solving simple equations
- Solving simple inequalities
- Solving simultaneous equations in two variables
- Interpreting linear relations
- Using the graph of a relationship to interpolate/extrapolate



Topics also included in the curriculum questionnaire (intended curriculum).

SOURCE: IEA Third International Mathematics and Science Study (TIMSS), 1998-1999

Mathematics Topics in the Intended Curriculum for At Least 90% of Students, Up to and Including Eighth Grade



Percentage of Topics Intended to Be Taught to All or Almost All (at least 90%) Students

| | Overall | Fractions and Number Sense | Measurement | Data Representation, Analysis, and Probability | Geometry | Algebra | |
|--------------------|---------|----------------------------------|-------------|---|----------|---------|--|
| Australia | 89 | 94 | 100 | 100 | 85 | 73 | |
| Belgium (Flemish) | 80 | 100 | 90 | 80 | 62 | 64 | |
| Bulgaria | 82 | 88 | 100 | 40 | 77 | 82 | |
| Canada | 82 | 94 | 90 | 100 | 77 | 55 | |
| Chile | 61 | 76 | 80 | 80 | 54 | 18 | |
| Chinese Taipei | 59 | 82 | 50 | 40 | 46 | 55 | |
| Cyprus | 63 | 82 | 70 | 0 | 54 | 64 | |
| Czech Republic | 77 | 94 | 90 | 80 | 69 | 45 | |
| England | 25 | 29 | 30 | 40 | 23 | 9 | |
| Finland | 57 | 65 | 80 | 60 | 31 | 55 | |
| Hong Kong, SAR | 79 | 94 | 80 | 40 | 77 | 73 | |
| Hungary | 77 | 94 | 60 | 60 | 69 | 82 | |
| Indonesia | 86 | 94 | 100 | 60 | 85 | 73 | |
| Iran, Islamic Rep. | 80 | 88 | 100 | 40 | 77 | 73 | |
| Israel | 41 | 47 | 40 | 60 | 23 | 45 | |
| Italy | 91 | 100 | 80 | 80 | 92 | 91 | |
| Japan | 89 | 82 | 100 | 80 | 85 | 100 | |
| Jordan | 89 | 100 | 100 | 80 | 85 | 73 | |
| Korea, Rep. of | 80 | 82 | 100 | 80 | 54 | 91 | |
| Latvia (LSS) | 70 | 82 | 80 | 20 | 54 | 82 | |
| Lithuania | 80 | 94 | 100 | 40 | 69 | 73 | |
| Macedonia, Rep. of | 59 | 59 | 60 | 0 | 69 | 73 | |
| Malaysia | 80 | 94 | 90 | 40 | 85 | 64 | |
| Moldova | 95 | 94 | 90 | 100 | 92 | 100 | |
| Morocco | 59 | 82 | 90 | 40 | 54 | 9 | |
| Netherlands | 46 | 53 | 40 | 60 | 54 | 27 | |
| New Zealand | 80 | 100 | 70 | 100 | 69 | 64 | |
| Philippines | 71 | 94 | 90 | 80 | 23 | 73 | |
| Romania | 93 | 100 | 100 | 20 | 100 | 100 | |
| Russian Federation | 75 | 88 | 60 | 100 | 62 | 73 | |
| Singapore | 89 | 94 | 100 | 80 | 77 | 91 | |
| Slovak Republic | _ | _ | _ | - | - | - | |
| Slovenia | 91 | 100 | 100 | 40 | 92 | 91 | |
| South Africa | 73 | 88 | 100 | 0 | 69 | 64 | |
| Thailand | 73 | 88 | 90 | 40 | 54 | 73 | |
| Tunisia | 79 | 100 | 90 | 60 | 62 | 64 | |
| Turkey | 91 | 88 | 90 | 100 | 85 | 100 | |
| United States | 93 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 85 | 82 | |
| International Avg. | 75 | 86 | 83 | 60 | 67 | 68 | |
| | | | | | | | |

SOURCE: IEA Third International Mathematics and Science Study (TIMSS), 1998-1999.

Background data provided by National Research Coordinators according to the national curriculum. NRCs indicated the percentage of students who should have been taught each of the topics listed in Exhibit 5.10. The response categories were: all or almost all of the students (at least 90%); about half of the students; only the more able students (top track - about 25%); only the most advanced students (10% or less); not included in curriculum through grade 8. (See reference exhibits R2.3-R2.7 for detail by topic.)

A dash (-) indicates data are not available.

Have Students Been Taught the Topics Tested by TIMSS?

In interpreting the achievement results, it is important to consider how extensively the topics tested are taught in the participating countries. As shown in Exhibits 5.12 through 5.16, the five major mathematics content areas assessed in TIMSS 1999 were represented by 34 topic areas. For each area, teachers indicated whether their students had been taught the topics before this year, one to five periods this year, more than five periods this year; whether the topics had not yet been taught; or whether the teacher did not know. Exhibits 5.12 through 5.16 show the percentages of students in each country reported to have been taught each topic before or during the year of the testing.

According to their teachers, nearly all of the students in all of the countries had been taught the topics in fractions and number sense, as shown in Exhibit 5.12. The international average for each topic exceeded go percent of students, with the exception of "square roots (of perfect squares less than 144), small integer exponents" and "concepts of ratio and proportions; ratio and proportion problems," with averages of 83 and 87 percent, respectively. Exhibit R2.8 in the reference section indicates that many students had instruction in these topics before the eighth grade.

Similarly, instructional coverage was high for the measurement topics presented in Exhibit 5.13. At least 87 percent of students, on average internationally, were taught five of the six topics. The topic with the lowest international average was "scales applied to maps and models." Two topics, "units of measurement; standards metric units" and "perimeter and area of simple shapes – triangles, rectangles, and circles," were taught to 96 percent of the students on average, internationally. As indicated by Exhibit R2.9 in the reference section, measurement topics received less emphasis in the eighth grade than fractions and number sense topics (see Exhibit R2.8). As with fractions and number sense, substantial percentages of students had studied the measurement topics before the eighth grade.

Corresponding to the reports for the intended curricula, teachers reported lower average percentages internationally across the data representation, analysis, and probability topic areas, shown in Exhibit 5.14. Teachers were asked about three topics in this content area, including "representation and interpretation of data in graphs, charts, and tables." Most of the test items in this content area dealt with interpretation of graphs and tables, and the international average for students who were taught this topic was 75 percent. The average percentages of











students taught the other two topics in this content area were 70 percent for "arithmetic mean" and 43 percent for "simple probabilities." In 22 countries, teachers indicated that less than half the students were taught the latter topic. For most students, the topics in this content area were receiving moderate attention at the eighth grade, and few students had been taught them at earlier grades (see Exhibit R2.10).

Teachers reported a range of instructional coverage across topics in geometry, presented in Exhibit 5.15. "Simple two dimensional geometry – angles on a straight line, parallel lines, triangles and quadrilaterals" was reported to have been taught internationally on average to 95 percent of the students, and "visualization of three-dimensional shapes" was taught to only 57 percent. The two topics showing the greatest variation across countries were "symmetry and transformations" and "visualization of three-dimensional shapes." In more than nine countries, these topics were



Exhibits 5.12-5.16 Overleaf

Exhibit 5.12 Percentages of Students Taught Fractions and Number Sense Topics*

| Australia 100 (0.0) 100 (0.3) 100 (0.3) 100 (0.0) 100 (0.3) 100 (0.0) 99 (0.6) | | Rounding whole numbers and decimal fractions | Relationships between common and decimal fractions, ordering of fractions | Computations with decimal fractions | Understanding and representing decimal fractions | Computations with common fractions | Understanding and representing common fractions | Whole numbers - including place values, factorization and operations (+, -, x, ÷) | |
|--|--|--|---|---|--|--|--|--|-------------------|
| Bulgaria s 99 (0.9) s 99 (0.6) s 99 (0.9) s 99 (0.8) r 99 (0.0) 99 (0.0 | | 99 (0.6) | 100 (0.0) | 100 (0.3) | 100 (0.0) | 100 (0.3) | 100 (0.3) | 100 (0.0) | Australia |
| Canada Chile 100 (0.0) 100 (0.3) r 100 (0.3) r 99 (0.5) r 98 (0.8) r 99 (0.4) r 100 (0.3) 22 (2.2) Chinese Taipei 100 (0.0) 100 (0.3) 100 (0.3) 100 (0.3) 100 (0.3) 99 (0.5) 99 (0.5) 98 (1.0) 92 (2.2) Chinese Taipei 100 (0.0) 100 (0.0) 100 (0.0) r 100 (0.0) r 100 (0.0) 1 | | 90 (3.5) | 89 (4.1) | 83 (2.2) | 88 (2.9) | 97 (2.4) | 99 (1.2) | 95 (3.1) | Belgium (Flemish) |
| Chile 100 (0.0) 100 (0.0) 100 (0.0) 99 (0.5) 99 (0.5) 98 (1.0) 92 (2.2) Chinese Taipei 100 (0.0) 100 (0.3) 100 (0.3) 100 (0.3) 99 (0.7) 100 (0.3) 98 (1.1) Cyprus 5 96 (2.7) r 100 (0.0) r 100 (0.0) r 100 (0.0) r 99 (0.8) r 99 (0.8) r 94 (2.6) Czech Republic 100 (0.1) 5 99 (0.5) 5 33 (2.0) 5 97 (0.9) 5 95 (1.1) 5 94 (1.1) 5 97 (0.9) Finland 98 (1.3) 94 (2.6) 88 (3.3) 100 (0.5) 99 (0.8) 90 (3.0) 88 (2.9) Hong Kong, SAR 98 (1.1) 99 (0.8) 99 (0.8) 99 (0.8) 100 (0.0) 100 (0.0) 100 (0.0) 100 (0.0) 100 (0.0) 88 (2.9) Hungary 100 (0.0) 100 (0.0) 100 (0.0) 100 (0.0) 100 (0.0) 100 (0.0) 100 (0.0) 100 (0.0) 100 (0.0) 100 (0.0) 100 (0.0) 100 (0.0) 100 (0.0) 100 (0.0) 100 (0.0) 100 (0.0) 100 (0.0) 100 (0.0) 100 (0.0) | | s 99 (0.6) | 99 (0.9) | s 99 (0.9) | s 99 (0.6) | s 99 (0.9) | s 99 (0.6) | s 99 (0.9) | Bulgaria |
| Chinese Taipei | | r 100 (0.3) | r 99 (0.4) | r 98 (0.8) | r 99 (0.5) | r 100 (0.3) | r 100 (0.3) | r 99 (0.6) | Canada |
| Cyprus s 96 (2.7) r 100 (0.0) r 100 (0.0) r 99 (0.8) r 99 (0.8) r 94 (2.6) Czech Republic 100 (0.0) 10 | | 92 (2.2) | 98 (1.0) | 99 (0.5) | 99 (0.5) | 100 (0.0) | 100 (0.4) | 100 (0.0) | Chile |
| Czech Republic 100 (0.0) | | 98 (1.1) | 100 (0.3) | 99 (0.7) | 100 (0.3) | 100 (0.3) | 100 (0.3) | 100 (0.0) | Chinese Taipei |
| England Finland 98 (1.3) 99 (0.5) 8 93 (2.0) 8 97 (0.9) 8 95 (1.1) 8 94 (1.1) 8 97 (0.9) 89 (2.9) 89 (3.0) 89 (2.9) 89 (3.0) 89 (2.9) 89 (3.0) 89 (2.9) 89 (3.0) 89 (2.9) 89 (3.0) 89 (2.9) 89 (3.0) 89 (2.9) 89 (3.0) 89 (2.9) 89 (3.0) 89 (2.9) 89 (3.0) 89 (2.9) 89 (3.0) 89 (3.0) 89 (2.9) 89 (3.0) 89 (3.0) 89 (2.9) 89 (3.0) 89 (3.0) 89 (3.0) 89 (2.9) 89 (3.0) 89 (3.0) 89 (3.0) 89 (2.9) 89 (3.0) 89 (3.0) 89 (3.0) 89 (2.9) 89 (3.0) 89 (| | r 94 (2.6) | r 99 (0.8) | r 99 (0.8) | r 100 (0.0) | r 100 (0.0) | r 100 (0.0) | s 96 (2.7) | Cyprus |
| Finland 98 (1.3) 94 (2.6) 88 (3.3) 100 (0.5) 99 (0.8) 90 (3.0) 89 (2.9) | | 100 (0.0) | 100 (0.0) | 100 (0.0) | 100 (0.0) | 100 (0.0) | 100 (0.0) | 100 (0.0) | Czech Republic |
| Hong Kong, SAR 98 (1.1) 99 (0.8) 99 (0.8) 99 (0.8) 100 (0.0) 99 (0.8) 100 (0.4) Hungary 100 (0.0) 100 (0.0) 100 (0.0) 100 (0.0) 100 (0.0) 100 (0.0) 100 (0.0) 100 (0.0) Indonesia 100 (0.0) 100 (0.0) 100 (0.0) 100 (0.0) 100 (0.0) 100 (0.0) 100 (0.0) Iran, Islamic Rep. 100 (0.0) 100 (0.0) 100 (0.0) 100 (0.4) 99 (0.9) 99 (0.9) 98 (1.4) Israel 97 (1.3) 99 (0.6) 99 (0.5) 98 (1.0) 98 (0.9) 98 (1.0) r 96 (1.6) Italy 100 (0.0) 100 (0.0) 100 (0.0) 100 (0.0) 100 (0.0) 100 (0.0) 100 (0.0) Japan 99 (1.0) 98 (1.4) 100 (0.0) 98 (1.4) 100 (0.0) 99 (1.0) 92 (2.7) Jordan 100 (0.0) 100 (0.0) 100 (0.0) 100 (0.0) 100 (0.0) 100 (0.0) 100 (0.0) 100 (0.0) Korea, Rep. of 92 (2.1) 96 (1.5) 96 (1.6) 97 (1.4) 96 (1.6) 96 (1.7) 94 (2.0) Latvia (LSS) 100 (0.0) 100 (0.0) 100 (0.0) 100 (0.0) 100 (0.0) 100 (0.0) 99 (0.7) Lithuania | | s 97 (0.9) | 94 (1.1) | s 95 (1.1) | s 97 (0.9) | s 93 (2.0) | s 99 (0.5) | s 100 (0.1) | England |
| Hungary 100 (0.0) 100 (0.0 | | 89 (2.9) | 90 (3.0) | 99 (0.8) | 100 (0.5) | 88 (3.3) | 94 (2.6) | 98 (1.3) | Finland |
| Indonesia 100 (0.0) 100 (0.0) 100 (0.0) 100 (0.0) 100 (0.0) 100 (0.0) 100 (0.0) 100 (0.0) 100 (0.0) 100 (0.0) 100 (0.0) 100 (0.0) 100 (0.0) 100 (0.0) 100 (0.0) 100 (0.0) 100 (0.0) 100 (0.0) 98 (1.4) 99 (0.9) 99 (0.9) 98 (1.4) 100 (0.0 | | 100 (0.4) | 99 (0.8) | 100 (0.0) | 99 (0.8) | 99 (0.8) | 99 (0.8) | 98 (1.1) | Hong Kong, SAR |
| Iran, Islamic Rep. 100 (0.0) 100 (0.0) 100 (0.0) 100 (0.4) 99 (0.9) 99 (0.9) 98 (1.4) | | 100 (0.0) | 100 (0.0) | 100 (0.0) | 100 (0.0) | 100 (0.0) | 100 (0.0) | 100 (0.0) | Hungary |
| Israel 97 (1.3) 99 (0.6) 99 (0.5) 98 (1.0) 98 (0.9) 98 (1.0) r 96 (1.6) | | 100 (0.0) | 100 (0.0) | 100 (0.0) | 100 (0.0) | 100 (0.0) | 100 (0.0) | 100 (0.0) | Indonesia |
| Italy | | ` ' | ` ' | , , | , , | ` ' | ` ' | | |
| Japan 99 (1.0) 98 (1.4) 100 (0.0) 98 (1.4) 100 (0.0) 99 (1.0) 92 (2.7) Jordan 100 (0.0) 100 (0.0) 100 (0.0) 100 (0.0) 100 (0.0) 100 (0.0) 100 (0.0) 100 (0.0) 100 (0.0) 100 (0.0) 100 (0.0) 100 (0.0) 100 (0.0) 100 (0.0) 100 (0.0) 100 (0.0) 99 (1.7) 94 (2.0) 94 (2.0) 99 (0.7) 99 (0.8) 97 (1.5) 99 (1.2) 99 (0.7) 99 (0.8) 97 (1.5) 99 (1.2) 99 (0.7) 99 (0.8) 97 (1.5) 99 (0.7) 99 (0.8) 97 (1.5) | | ` ' | ` ′ | ` ' | ` ' | . , | , , | | Israel |
| Jordan 100 (0.0) | | ` ' | ` ' | ` ' | ` ′ | ` ′ | ` ' | ` ' | • |
| Korea, Rep. of Latvia (LSS) 92 (2.1) 96 (1.5) 96 (1.6) 97 (1.4) 96 (1.6) 96 (1.7) 94 (2.0) Lithuania * | | | ` ' | | ` ′ | ` ' | ` ' | | • |
| Latvia (LSS) 100 (0.0) 100 (0.0) 100 (0.0) 100 (0.0) 100 (0.0) 99 (0.7) Lithuania * | | | | | | | | | |
| Lithuania | | ` ' | ` ' | | . , | ` ' | ` ' | , , | |
| Macedonia, Rep. of 90 (2.4) 87 (2.8) 87 (2.8) 87 (2.8) 88 (2.5) 88 (2.6) 87 (2.6) Malaysia 98 (1.0) 99 (0.9) 99 (0.9) 97 (1.3) 97 (1.5) 95 (1.5) 96 (1.7) Moldova | 99. | | 100 (0.0) | | | 100 (0.0) | | | |
| Malaysia 98 (1.0) 99 (0.9) 99 (0.9) 97 (1.3) 97 (1.5) 95 (1.5) 96 (1.7) Moldova —— <td< th=""><td>8-19</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></td<> | 8-19 | | | | | | | | |
| Moldova Morocco </th <td>199</td> <td>` '</td> <td>` '</td> <td>` '</td> <td></td> <td>` ′</td> <td>` '</td> <td>` '</td> <td></td> | 199 | ` ' | ` ' | ` ' | | ` ′ | ` ' | ` ' | |
| Morocco <t< th=""><td>155),</td><td></td><td></td><td>97 (1.5)</td><td></td><td></td><td>` '</td><td></td><td></td></t<> | 155), | | | 97 (1.5) | | | ` ' | | |
| Netherlands r 74 (5.8) 100 (0.3) 100 (0.3) r 96 (3.2) r 96 (3.3) r 96 (3.3) 100 (0.0) New Zealand 100 (0.0) 96 (1.5) 94 (1.7) 98 (0.8) 98 (0.8) 96 (1.3) 96 (1.2) Philippines 100 (0.0) 100 (0.0) 98 (1.2) 99 (0.7) 99 (0.8) 97 (1.5) | € | | | | | | | | |
| New Zealand 100 (0.0) 96 (1.5) 94 (1.7) 98 (0.8) 98 (0.8) 96 (1.3) 96 (1.2) Philippines 100 (0.0) 100 (0.0) 100 (0.0) 98 (1.2) 99 (0.7) 99 (0.8) 97 (1.5) | tudy | | | r 06 (2.2) | | | | - 74 (E 0) | |
| Philippines 100 (0.0) 100 (0.0) 100 (0.0) 98 (1.2) 99 (0.7) 99 (0.8) 97 (1.5) | Ce S | ` ' | ` ' | ` ' | | ` ′ | | ` ' | |
| | cien | | | | | | | | |
| 100 (0.0) 100 (0.0) 100 (0.0) 100 (0.0) 100 (0.0) | and s | ` ' | ` ' | ` ' | ` ' | ` ′ | ` ' | ` ' | • • |
| Russian Federation | tics | ` ' | | | | | | | |
| Singapore 100 (0.0) 100 (0.0) 100 (0.0) 99 (0.9) 100 (0.0) 100 (0.0) | ema | 100 (0.0) | 100 (0.0) | 100 (0.0) | 99 (0.9) | 100 (0.0) | 100 (0.0) | 100 (0.0) | |
| Slovak Republic 100 (0.0) 100 (0.0) 100 (0.0) 100 (0.0) 100 (0.0) 100 (0.0) | Math | , | | | | | | | 5 . |
| Slovenia 100 (0.0) 100 (0.0) 99 (0.9) 100 (0.0) 100 (0.0) 100 (0.0) | nal | | | | | | | | • |
| South Africa | natio | | | | | | | | |
| Thailand 94 (1.8) 97 (1.3) 99 (0.6) 98 (1.1) 99 (0.9) 99 (0.6) 94 (2.0) | nterr | 94 (2.0) | 99 (0.6) | 99 (0.9) | 98 (1.1) | 99 (0.6) | 97 (1.3) | 94 (1.8) | |
| Tunisia 99 (0.8) r 93 (2.4) r 97 (1.6) 94 (2.2) 99 (0.8) r 91 (2.7) r 46 (5.3) | ird | r 46 (5.3) | r 91 (2.7) | 99 (0.8) | 94 (2.2) | r 97 (1.6) | r 93 (2.4) | 99 (0.8) | Tunisia |
| Turkey 100 (0.0) 100 (0.0) 100 (0.0) 100 (0.0) 100 (0.0) 100 (0.0) | A Th | 100 (0.2) | 100 (0.0) | 100 (0.0) | 100 (0.0) | 100 (0.0) | 100 (0.0) | 100 (0.0) | Turkey |
| United States 100 (0.2) 100 (0.0) 100 (0.0) 98 (0.8) 98 (0.8) 99 (0.7) | E E | 99 (0.7) | 98 (0.8) | 98 (0.8) | 98 (0.8) | 100 (0.0) | 100 (0.0) | 100 (0.2) | United States |
| International Avg. 98 (0.3) 99 (0.2) 98 (0.2) 98 (0.2) 98 (0.2) 98 (0.2) 95 (0.3) | SOURCE: IEA Third International Mathematics and Science Study (TIMSS), 1998-1999 | 95 (0.2) | 98 (0.2) | 98 (0.2) | 98 (0.2) | 98 (0.2) | 99 (0.2) | 08 (0.3) | International Ava |

Background data provided by teachers.

176

^{*} Taught before or during this school year.

[‡] Lithuania tested the same cohort of students as other countries, but later in 1999, at the beginning of the next school year.

^() Standard errors appear in parentheses. Because results are rounded to the nearest whole number, some totals may appear inconsistent.

A dash (-) indicates data are not available

An "r" indicates teacher response data available for 70-84% of students. An "s" indicates teacher response data available for 50-69% of students. An "x" indicates teacher response data available for <50% of students.



| | Estimating the results of computations | Number lines | Computations with percentages and problems involving percentages | Simple computations with negative numbers | Square roots (of perfect squares less than 144), small integer exponents | Concepts of ratio and proportions; ratio and proportion problems |
|--|--|---|---|--|--|--|
| Australia | 96 (1.4) | 99 (0.9) | 97 (1.5) | 99 (1.0) | 94 (2.2) | 86 (3.6) |
| Belgium (Flemish) | r 94 (2.0) | 96 (2.5) | 93 (2.1) | 89 (2.6) | 80 (2.2) | 70 (2.8) |
| Bulgaria | s 98 (1.2) | r 47 (5.3) | s 97 (1.7) | s 99 (1.3) | r 38 (4.3) | r 98 (1.0) |
| Canada | r 100 (0.3) | r 100 (0.1) | r 98 (0.8) | r 97 (1.6) | r 96 (1.2) | r 95 (1.3) |
| Chile | 88 (2.7) | 99 (0.9) | 80 (3.1) | 77 (3.2) | 57 (3.7) | 88 (2.3) |
| Chinese Taipei | 95 (2.0) | 99 (0.8) | 94 (1.9) | 100 (0.3) | 96 (1.6) | 90 (2.6) |
| Cyprus | r 96 (2.1) | s 100 (0.0) | r 100 (0.0) | r 100 (0.0) | s 100 (0.0) | r 100 (0.0) |
| Czech Republic | 100 (0.0) | 100 (0.0) | 100 (0.0) | 100 (0.0) | 100 (0.0) | 100 (0.2) |
| England | s 96 (1.7) | s 97 (1.3) | s 96 (1.3) | s 96 (1.3) | s 87 (2.0) | s 79 (2.7) |
| Finland | 86 (3.6) | 96 (1.9) | 48 (4.3) | 98 (1.6) | 20 (3.1) | 14 (3.1) |
| Hong Kong, SAR | r 94 (2.2) | 92 (2.6) | 95 (1.9) | 99 (0.8) | 98 (1.2) | 91 (2.5) |
| Hungary | 100 (0.0) | 95 (1.6) | 100 (0.0) | 100 (0.0) | 99 (0.8) | 98 (1.0) |
| Indonesia | 97 (2.0) | 100 (0.0) | 100 (0.0) | 99 (0.4) | 98 (1.3) | 92 (2.9) |
| Iran, Islamic Rep. | r 86 (3.2) | 100 (0.0) | 99 (1.1) | 100 (0.0) | 100 (0.0) | 100 (0.0) |
| Israel | r 94 (1.5) | 99 (0.5) | 92 (2.1) | 99 (0.4) | r 83 (2.9) | r 35 (4.5) |
| Italy | 94 (2.0) | 99 (0.8) | 96 (1.6) | 98 (1.1) | 100 (0.0) | 99 (0.8) |
| Japan | r 89 (3.3) | 100 (0.0) | 100 (0.0) | 100 (0.0) | 14 (3.0) | 97 (1.6) |
| Jordan | 100 (0.0) | 100 (0.0) | 100 (0.0) | 100 (0.0) | 100 (0.0) | 99 (0.5) |
| Korea, Rep. of | 89 (2.5) | 98 (1.2) | 92 (2.0) | 95 (1.8) | 64 (4.1) | 90 (2.3) |
| Latvia (LSS) | r 91 (2.5) | 30 (4.3) | 100 (0.0) | 100 (0.0) | 98 (0.7) | 98 (1.1) |
| Lithuania [‡] Macedonia, Rep. of Malaysia Moldova Morocco | 98 (1.1) | r 83 (3.1) 100 (0.0) | 92 (2.3) 96 (1.5) | 88 (2.7) 99 (0.6) | 91 (2.5) 98 (1.0) | r 97 (1.9) 97 (1.3) |
| Netherlands New Zealand Philippines Romania Russian Federation | r 99 (1.0) 97 (0.9) 91 (2.3) 99 (0.9) | 99 (0.9) 97 (1.5) 94 (2.1) 100 (0.0) | 98 (1.2) 93 (2.1) 97 (1.5) 100 (0.0) | 98 (1.4) 96 (1.5) 87 (3.2) 100 (0.0) | 92 (3.1) 86 (2.8) 65 (4.1) 100 (0.0) | r 80 (5.8) 67 (3.8) 92 (2.4) 100 (0.0) |
| Singapore | 100 (0.4) | 100 (0.0) | 100 (0.0) | 100 (0.0) | 100 (0.0) | 100 (0.0) |
| Slovak Republic | 99 (0.6) | 100 (0.0) | 100 (0.0) | 100 (0.0) | 100 (0.0) | 100 (0.0) |
| Slovenia | 98 (1.3) | 100 (0.0) | 100 (0.0) | 100 (0.0) | 100 (0.0) | 100 (0.0) |
| South Africa | | | | | | |
| Thailand | 94 (2.1) | 98 (1.0) | 100 (0.0) | 98 (1.1) | 94 (2.3) | 99 (0.8) |
| Tunisia | s 35 (4.5) | r 54 (4.4) | 81 (3.6) | 92 (2.5) | 20 (3.7) | r 35 (4.3) |
| Turkey | x x | 80 (2.8) | 97 (1.6) | 99 (0.7) | 96 (1.2) | 99 (0.4) |
| United States | 100 (0.2) | 99 (0.5) | 96 (1.4) | 97 (1.1) | 82 (3.7) | 93 (1.8) |
| International Avg. | 93 (0.4) | 92 (0.3) | 95 (0.3) | 97 (0.2) | 83 (0.4) | |

SOURCE: IEA Third International Mathematics and Science Study (TIMSS), 1998-1999.

Percentages of Students Taught Measurement Topics*



SOURCE: IEA Third International Mathematics and Science Study (TIMSS), 1998-1999.

| | Units of measurement, standard metric units | Reading measurement instuments | Estimates of measurement, accuracy of measurement | Perimeter and area of simple shapes - triangles, rectangles, and circles | Perimeter and area of combined shapes | Volume of rectangular solids - i.e., volume=length × width × height | Scales applied to maps and models |
|-------------------------------|--|--------------------------------------|---|---|--|---|---|
| Australia | 99 (1.0) | r 96 (2.0) | 94 (2.2) | 98 (1.2) | 97 (1.4) | 85 (3.2) | 73 (4.4) |
| Belgium (Flemish) | 95 (1.8) | r 83 (3.8) | r 85 (4.1) | 98 (1.2) | r 85 (3.9) | 89 (3.5) | 88 (2.2) |
| Bulgaria | s 98 (1.2) | s 87 (3.1) | s 92 (2.7) | s 98 (1.3) | s 84 (4.2) | s 94 (2.6) | s 87 (3.0) |
| Canada | r 99 (0.5) | r 97 (1.2) | r 97 (1.0) | r 97 (0.9) | r 96 (1.3) | r 68 (2.7) | r 92 (2.1) |
| Chile | 87 (2.7) | 74 (3.6) | 67 (3.9) | 88 (2.7) | 74 (3.8) | 45 (4.4) | 49 (4.0) |
| Chinese Taipei | 96 (1.7) | 95 (2.0) | 90 (2.7) | 100 (0.3) | 92 (2.3) | 99 (0.7) | 74 (3.8) |
| Cyprus | s 100 (0.0) | хх | хх | s 100 (0.0) | s 100 (0.0) | r 67 (3.7) | r 43 (5.0) |
| Czech Republic | 100 (0.2) | r 99 (0.6) | 97 (1.2) | 100 (0.0) | 90 (3.2) | 100 (0.0) | 98 (1.2) |
| England | s 98 (0.9) | s 96 (1.3) | s 86 (2.8) | s 98 (1.0) | s 96 (1.1) | s 93 (1.4) | s 76 (2.6) |
| Finland | 98 (0.9) | r 88 (3.0) | r 77 (4.0) | 65 (4.2) | 51 (4.5) | 58 (4.3) | 20 (3.7) |
| Hong Kong, SAR | 98 (1.2) | 96 (1.9) | 92 (2.5) | 100 (0.0) | 99 (0.8) | 98 (1.5) | 91 (2.7) |
| Hungary | 100 (0.0) | 100 (0.2) | 100 (0.2) | 99 (0.6) | 97 (1.2) | 98 (1.1) | 90 (2.5) |
| Indonesia | 96 (1.6) | 94 (2.1) | 87 (3.5) | 100 (0.0) | 98 (1.1) | 87 (3.6) | 94 (2.1) |
| Iran, Islamic Rep. | 91 (2.7) | 86 (2.6) | 86 (3.0) | 100 (0.0) | 97 (1.6) | 97 (1.7) | 81 (4.1) |
| Israel | r 90 (2.5) | s 77 (4.3) | s 81 (3.9) | r 84 (3.1) | r 62 (4.0) | r 47 (4.7) | s 43 (5.0) |
| Italy | 100 (0.0) | 96 (1.6) | 90 (2.3) | 99 (0.8) | 96 (1.3) | 95 (1.4) | 91 (2.2) |
| Japan | 90 (2.5) | r 84 (3.3) | r 66 (4.2) | 99 (0.7) | 78 (3.3) | 98 (1.4) | 84 (3.1) |
| Jordan | 100 (0.0) | 100 (0.0) | 97 (1.6) | 100 (0.0) | 99 (0.9) | 99 (0.5) | 88 (3.1) |
| Korea, Rep. of | 85 (2.7) | 84 (2.7) | 93 (2.1) | 98 (1.2) | 95 (1.8) | 98 (1.0) | 73 (3.4) |
| Latvia (LSS) | 99 (0.7) | 92 (2.7) | 71 (4.5) | 96 (1.8) | 72 (4.6) | 81 (3.9) | 94 (2.4) |
| Lithuania [‡] | | | | | | | |
| Macedonia, Rep. of | 88 (2.7) | r 81 (3.7) | r 82 (3.4) | 92 (2.8) | r 91 (3.0) | r 95 (1.5) | s 70 (4.4) |
| Malaysia | 96 (1.7) | 93 (2.0) | 93 (2.0) | 97 (2.0) | 95 (2.3) | 91 (2.4) | 86 (3.0) |
| Moldova | | | | | | | |
| Morocco | | | | | | | |
| Netherlands | r 93 (4.7) | s 54 (8.4) | r 78 (6.3) | 98 (1.2) | 84 (4.9) | 89 (4.9) | 88 (5.3) |
| New Zealand | 99 (0.8) | 95 (1.6) | 85 (2.9) | 95 (1.8) | 92 (2.1) | 92 (1.8) | 66 (4.1) |
| Philippines | 88 (2.8) | 83 (3.4) | 82 (3.3) | 92 (2.3) | 83 (3.1) | 76 (3.7) | 40 (4.4) |
| Romania Russian Federation | 100 (0.0) | 100 (0.0) | 96 (1.7) | 100 (0.0) | 99 (0.9) | 99 (0.9) | 98 (1.3) |
| Singapore | 100 (0.0) | r 98 (1.2) | 98 (1.3) | 100 (0.0) | 100 (0.0) | 100 (0.0) | 96 (1.6) |
| Slovak Republic | 99 (1.2) | r 77 (4.2) | 90 (1.3) r 93 (2.7) | 100 (0.0) | 99 (0.8) | 97 (1.5) | 99 (1.2) |
| Slovenia | 100 (0.0) | 91 (2.7) | 93 (2.7) | 100 (0.0) | 100 (0.0) | 97 (1.3) | 97 (1.7) |
| South Africa | | J1 (2.7) | | | | | |
| Thailand | 91 (2.5) | 86 (3.0) | 88 (2.7) | 95 (1.8) | 78 (3.7) | 99 (0.7) | 85 (3.0) |
| Tunisia | 94 (2.2) | r 74 (3.9) | r 53 (4.6) | 98 (1.3) | 89 (2.6) | 89 (2.5) | r 47 (5.2) |
| Turkey | 89 (2.6) | 88 (2.4) | 90 (2.2) | 92 (2.2) | 79 (3.1) | 56 (3.8) | r 60 (3.6) |
| United States | 96 (1.0) | r 92 (1.7) | r 91 (1.2) | 95 (1.4) | 90 (1.6) | 83 (2.0) | r 84 (2.5) |
| International Avg. | 96 (0.3) | 89 (0.5) | 87 (0.5) | 96 (0.3) | 89 (0.5) | 87 (0.5) | 77 (0.6) |

Background data provided by teachers.

- * Taught before or during this school year.
- ‡ Lithuania tested the same cohort of students as other countries, but later in 1999, at the beginning of the next school year.
- () Standard errors appear in parentheses. Because results are rounded to the nearest whole number, some totals may appear inconsistent.

A dash (-) indicates data are not available.

An "r" indicates teacher response data available for 70-84% of students. An "s" indicates teacher response data available for 50-69% of students. An "x" indicates teacher response data available for <50% of students.

Exhibit 5.14

Percentages of Students Taught Data Representation, Analysis, and Probability Topics*



| | Representation and interpretation of data in graphs, charts, and tables | Arithmetic mean | Simple probabilities – understanding and calculations |
|--------------------|---|-----------------|--|
| Australia | 92 (2.4) | 74 (3.5) | 52 (4.3) |
| Belgium (Flemish) | 86 (4.1) | 93 (2.1) | r 24 (3.0) |
| Bulgaria | r 71 (6.6) | r 39 (5.5) | r 10 (2.6) |
| Canada | r 91 (2.4) | r 81 (2.7) | r 72 (3.3) |
| Chile | 49 (3.8) | 49 (3.5) | 35 (3.6) |
| Chinese Taipei | 11 (2.3) | 12 (2.7) | 4 (1.6) |
| Cyprus | r 1 (0.1) | r 6 (2.2) | r 0 (0.0) |
| Czech Republic | 49 (5.6) | 88 (3.4) | 7 (2.8) |
| England | s 99 (0.4) | s 93 (2.3) | s 90 (2.4) |
| Finland | 65 (3.5) | 62 (4.3) | 14 (3.3) |
| Hong Kong, SAR | 65 (4.5) | 30 (4.1) | 10 (2.8) |
| Hungary | 99 (0.8) | 92 (2.4) | 56 (3.5) |
| Indonesia | 93 (2.1) | 93 (2.4) | 95 (1.9) |
| Iran, Islamic Rep. | 94 (3.8) | 92 (3.8) | r 45 (5.0) |
| Israel | r 62 (4.4) | r 71 (3.8) | s 28 (3.8) |
| Italy | 84 (3.0) | 62 (3.6) | 49 (3.8) |
| Japan | 43 (4.7) | 38 (4.5) | 3 (1.4) |
| Jordan | 93 (2.6) | 93 (2.6) | 95 (1.8) |
| Korea, Rep. of | 95 (1.7) | 78 (3.2) | 99 (0.6) |
| Latvia (LSS) | 98 (1.3) | 99 (0.6) | 40 (4.3) |
| Lithuania ‡ | | | |
| Macedonia, Rep. of | r 76 (4.5) | 82 (3.4) | r 45 (5.0) |
| Malaysia | 56 (3.8) | 38 (3.5) | 33 (3.7) |
| Moldova | | | |
| Morocco | | | |
| Netherlands | 87 (4.7) | 77 (5.7) | r 46 (6.5) |
| New Zealand | 87 (3.0) | 77 (3.4) | 61 (3.9) |
| Philippines | 60 (4.2) | 34 (4.3) | 29 (4.2) |
| Romania | 95 (2.2) | 100 (0.0) | 95 (1.7) |
| Russian Federation | | | |
| Singapore | 97 (1.7) | 88 (3.2) | s 17 (4.2) |
| Slovak Republic | 72 (4.7) | 98 (1.2) | 30 (4.7) |
| Slovenia | 97 (1.4) | 94 (2.2) | r 40 (4.4) |
| South Africa | | | |
| Thailand | 90 (2.9) | 57 (4.3) | 44 (4.2) |
| Tunisia | 38 (4.4) | 28 (4.0) | 6 (2.3) |
| Turkey | 79 (2.6) | 93 (2.2) | 78 (4.0) |
| United States | 96 (1.2) | 93 (1.6) | 79 (2.3) |
| International Avg. | 75 (0.6) | 70 (0.6) | r 45 (5.0) 33 (3.7) r 45 (5.0) 33 (3.7) r 46 (6.5) 61 (3.9) 29 (4.2) 95 (1.7) s 17 (4.2) 30 (4.7) r 40 (4.4) 44 (4.2) 6 (2.3) 78 (4.0) 79 (2.3) 43 (0.6) |

Background data provided by teachers.

^{*} Taught before or during this school year.

Lithuania tested the same cohort of students as other countries, but later in 1999, at the beginning of the next school year.

⁽⁾ Standard errors appear in parentheses. Because results are rounded to the nearest whole number, some totals may appear inconsistent.

A dash (-) indicates data are not available.

An "r" indicates teacher response data available for 70-84% of students. An "s" indicates teacher response data available for 50-69% of students.

Percentages of Students Taught Geometry Topics*



| | Cartesian coordinates of points in a plane | Coordinates of points on a given straight line | Simple two dimensional geometry - angles on a straight line, parallel lines, triangles and quadrilaterals | Congruence and similarity | Symmetry and transformations (reflection and rotation) | Visualization of three-dimensional shapes |
|--|--|--|---|---|--|---|
| Australia | 92 (2.4) | 80 (3.7) | 96 (1.7) | 61 (4.2) | 64 (3.8) | r 75 (4.2) |
| Belgium (Flemish) | 78 (3.0) | r 54 (3.9) | 91 (4.1) | 79 (2.5) | 87 (2.9) | 57 (4.0) |
| Bulgaria | 92 (2.5) | r 91 (3.9) | 100 (0.5) | 81 (3.6) | 82 (3.4) | r 24 (4.7) |
| Canada | r 81 (2.5) | r 84 (2.6) | r 94 (1.8) | r 84 (2.7) | r 78 (2.4) | r 63 (3.2) |
| Chile | 59 (3.7) | 65 (3.9) | 96 (1.5) | 69 (3.8) | 26 (3.0) | 47 (4.3) |
| Chinese Taipei | 100 (0.0) | 99 (0.9) | 78 (3.5) | 60 (4.3) | 29 (3.7) | 42 (4.1) |
| Cyprus | r 6 (2.5) | r 20 (4.3) | r 100 (0.0) | r 16 (4.0) | r 23 (4.7) | r 40 (5.1) |
| Czech Republic | 94 (2.6) | 88 (4.9) | 100 (0.0) | 86 (3.7) | 98 (1.1) | 73 (5.2) |
| England | s 94 (1.3) | s 79 (3.1) | s 95 (1.6) | s 54 (4.1) | s 88 (2.6) | s 75 (3.0) |
| Finland | 93 (1.9) | 64 (4.0) | 88 (2.8) | 36 (3.8) | 37 (4.0) | 30 (4.0) |
| Hong Kong, SAR | 98 (1.3) | 95 (1.9) | 97 (1.6) | 89 (2.8) | r 31 (4.6) | r 29 (4.7) |
| Hungary | 100 (0.3) | 92 (2.3) | 99 (0.7) | 82 (3.1) | 97 (1.2) | 70 (3.6) |
| Indonesia | 100 (0.0) | 100 (0.0) | 94 (2.5) | 66 (3.9) | 56 (4.2) | 28 (4.1) |
| Iran, Islamic Rep. | 97 (1.4) | 100 (0.0) | 98 (1.0) | 97 (1.5) | 95 (1.7) | 77 (4.0) |
| Israel | 87 (3.1) | r 83 (3.4) | 91 (2.5) | 53 (4.0) | r 21 (3.3) | r 11 (2.6) |
| Italy | 93 (1.9) | 79 (3.0) | 98 (1.2) | 91 (2.0) | 65 (3.8) | 89 (2.4) |
| Japan | 100 (0.0) | 99 (1.0) | 97 (1.4) | 98 (1.2) | 98 (1.3) | 82 (2.9) |
| Jordan | 95 (1.9) | 93 (2.5) | 98 (1.1) | 99 (0.5) | 27 (4.0) | 99 (1.1) |
| Korea, Rep. of | 98 (1.1) | 99 (0.7) | 99 (0.7) | 99 (0.7) | 71 (3.7) | 52 (4.2) |
| Latvia (LSS) | 99 (1.1) | 99 (0.9) | 99 (0.8) | 72 (4.0) | 11 (2.4) | 7 (1.9) |
| Lithuania [‡] Macedonia, Rep. of Malaysia Moldova Morocco | 95 (1.5) x x | 91 (2.7) x x | 90 (2.6) 96 (1.4) | r 95 (1.5) 71 (3.1) | 90 (2.6) 68 (3.7) | r 74 (4.3) 70 (3.5) |
| Netherlands New Zealand Philippines Romania Russian Federation | r 97 (1.5) 83 (2.9) 49 (4.4) 99 (0.6) | r 97 (1.5) 69 (3.6) 57 (4.3) 99 (0.6) | 98 (1.1) 97 (1.6) 77 (3.8) 100 (0.0) —— | 49 (5.8) 49 (4.2) 50 (4.1) 100 (0.0) | 78 (5.3) 76 (3.1) 26 (3.8) 86 (2.7) | r 60 (6.2) 70 (3.7) 31 (3.7) 97 (1.7) — |
| Singapore | 91 (2.6) | 93 (2.4) | 96 (1.8) | 96 (1.9) | 84 (3.4) | r 72 (4.4) |
| Slovak Republic | 74 (4.1) | 73 (4.4) | 95 (2.3) | 28 (3.7) | 41 (5.0) | r 43 (5.0) |
| Slovenia | 99 (0.9) | 100 (0.0) | 100 (0.0) | 100 (0.0) | 100 (0.0) | 86 (2.9) |
| South Africa | | | | | | |
| Thailand | 91 (2.7) | 88 (3.1) | 90 (2.9) | 92 (2.6) | 53 (4.5) | 74 (4.1) |
| Tunisia | 14 (3.2) | 78 (3.3) | 86 (2.9) | r 8 (2.6) | 30 (4.0) | 50 (4.4) |
| Turkey | 90 (2.6) | 99 (0.6) | 97 (1.3) | 97 (1.3) | 86 (3.0) | 37 (3.5) |
| United States | r 83 (2.4) | 82 (2.5) | 89 (2.0) | r 80 (2.6) | r 62 (2.9) | r 61 (2.7) |
| International Avg. | 85 (0.4) | 84 (0.5) | 95 (0.3) | 72 (0.6) | 63 (0.6) | 57 (0.7) |

Background data provided by teachers.

- * Taught before or during this school year.
- ‡ Lithuania tested the same cohort of students as other countries, but later in 1999, at the beginning of the next school year.
- () Standard errors appear in parentheses. Because results are rounded to the nearest whole number, some totals may appear inconsistent.

A dash (-) indicates data are not available.

An "r" indicates teacher response data available for 70-84% of students. An "s" indicates teacher response data available for 50-69% of students. An "x" indicates teacher response data available for <50% of students.

SOURCE: IEA Third International Mathematics and Science Study (TIMSS), 1998-1999

Percentages of Students Taught Algebra Topics*



| | Number patterns and simple relations | Simple algebraic expressions | Representing situations algebraically; formulas | Solving simple equations | Solving simple inequalities |
|--|--|------------------------------|--|--------------------------|-----------------------------|
| Australia | 100 (0.0) | 100 (0.0) | 96 (1.6) | 94 (1.8) | 45 (3.9) |
| Belgium (Flemish) | r 86 (2.9) | 84 (1.9) | 84 (3.1) | 85 (2.8) | r 9 (2.1) |
| Bulgaria | r 98 (1.1) | r 99 (0.7) | 98 (1.3) | 100 (0.5) | 99 (0.7) |
| Canada Chile | r 98 (1.0) 66 (3.7) | r 98 (0.8) 68 (3.5) | r 92 (2.1) 56 (3.9) | r 94 (2.3) 84 (2.6) | r 50 (3.2) 69 (3.9) |
| Chinese Taipei | 92 (2.5) | 99 (0.8) | 99 (0.8) | 98 (1.2) | 43 (4.2) |
| Cyprus | r 84 (3.3) | r 89 (3.9) | r 100 (0.0) | s 100 (0.0) | r 100 (0.0) |
| Czech Republic | r 99 (1.2) | 100 (0.0) | 97 (1.9) | 96 (2.0) | 32 (5.2) |
| England | s 98 (0.6) | s 96 (1.1) | s 89 (1.8) | s 93 (1.5) | s 39 (3.7) |
| Finland | 49 (4.8) | 73 (3.9) | 47 (4.3) | 52 (4.3) | 4 (1.6) |
| Hong Kong, SAR | r 87 (3.0) | 100 (0.0) | 100 (0.0) | 100 (0.0) | 27 (4.0) |
| Hungary | 94 (2.1) | 100 (0.3) | 98 (1.3) | 100 (0.0) | 99 (0.8) |
| Indonesia | 61 (4.2) | 92 (2.6) | 91 (2.5) | 97 (1.8) | 96 (2.0) |
| Iran, Islamic Rep. | 99 (0.7) | 100 (0.0) | 96 (1.7) | 100 (0.0) | r 24 (3.8) |
| Israel | 100 (0.2) | 97 (1.2) | 88 (3.0) | 99 (0.9) | 92 (1.8) |
| Italy | 98 (1.2) | 100 (0.4) | 95 (1.7) | 95 (1.7) | 27 (2.9) |
| Japan | r 94 (2.4) | 100 (0.0) | 98 (1.2) | 100 (0.0) | 99 (0.7) |
| Jordan | 35 (4.1) | 99 (0.9) | 96 (1.7) | 100 (0.0) | 60 (4.2) |
| Korea, Rep. of | 95 (1.3) | 99 (0.7) | 96 (1.6) | 99 (0.7) | 99 (1.0) |
| Latvia (LSS) | 92 (2.6) | 100 (0.0) | 100 (0.0) | 100 (0.0) | 96 (1.8) |
| Lithuania [‡] Macedonia, Rep. of | 81 (3.7) | 94 (2.5) | r 97 (1.5) | r 99 (0.7) | 97 (1.5) |
| Malaysia | 98 (1.2) | 99 (0.9) | 98 (1.1) | 99 (1.0) | 72 (3.4) |
| Moldova | JO (1.2) | | JO (1.1) | | 72 (5.4) |
| Morocco | | | | | |
| Netherlands | 87 (4.9) | r 86 (4.9) | 81 (6.0) | 76 (5.3) | r 39 (6.4) |
| New Zealand | 99 (0.8) | 97 (1.2) | 91 (2.5) | 90 (2.5) | 38 (4.3) |
| Philippines | 70 (3.6) | 78 (3.3) | 66 (4.0) | 69 (4.2) | 45 (4.3) |
| Romania | 100 (0.0) | 100 (0.0) | 99 (1.0) | 100 (0.0) | 99 (0.9) |
| Russian Federation | | | | | |
| Singapore | 98 (1.4) | 100 (0.0) | 100 (0.0) | 100 (0.0) | 93 (2.3) |
| Slovak Republic | 99 (0.9) | 100 (0.1) | 97 (1.5) | 100 (0.0) | 93 (2.5) |
| Slovenia | 98 (1.1) | 100 (0.0) | 99 (0.6) | 100 (0.0) | 92 (2.5) |
| South Africa | | | | | |
| Thailand | 75 (4.1) | 75 (4.3) | 74 (4.2) | 99 (0.5) | 97 (1.3) |
| Tunisia | r 71 (4.0) | 85 (3.3) | r 61 (4.7) | 72 (4.0) | 21 (3.7) |
| Turkey | 91 (2.0) | 93 (2.2) | 94 (2.0) | 99 (1.0) | 99 (0.7) |
| United States | 97 (1.1) | 98 (0.9) | 96 (1.1) | 98 (0.6) | 83 (2.3) |
| International Avg. | 88 (0.5) | 94 (0.4) | 90 (0.4) | 94 (0.3) | 66 (0.5) |

SOURCE: IEA Third International Mathematics and Science Study (TIMSS), 1998-1999.

Background data provided by teachers.

^{*} Taught before or during this school year.

[‡] Lithuania tested the same cohort of students as other countries, but later in 1999, at the beginning of the next school year.

⁽⁾ Standard errors appear in parentheses. Because results are rounded to the nearest whole number, some totals may appear inconsistent.

A dash (-) indicates data are not available.

An "r" indicates teacher response data available for 70-84% of students. An "s" indicates teacher response data available for 50-69% of students.

Can Meaningful Comparisons Be Made Between the Intended and Implemented Curriculum?

The TIMSS 1999 results indicate some discrepancies in a number of countries between the intended curriculum in mathematics and the implemented curriculum as reported by teachers. There are many cases of topics intended to be taught to all, or almost all, students in a country for which teachers reported lower coverage. For example, curricular goals and aims in 25 countries included "visualization of three-dimensional shapes" for all or almost all students, but teachers in only eight countries reported that at least 75 percent of the students had been taught this topic. Interestingly, there are also cases for which teachers reported greater topic coverage than would be expected from the intended curriculum. Substantial percentages of students in several countries had been taught "simple probabilities" even when this topic was not included in the official curriculum. Such discrepancies are consistent with previous IEA studies.² However, considering the broad nature of the topics, care should be taken in interpreting the results. Further analysis will need to be done within each country to strengthen the match between the intended and implemented curricula.

Livingstone, I.D., (1986), Second International Mathematics Study: Perceptions of the Intended and Implemented Mathematics Curriculum, Washington, D.C., Center for Statistics, U.S. Department of Education.