

# CHAPTER 6

# Survey Operations Procedures for TIMSS 2019

leva Johansone

#### Overview

As data-based indicators of countries student achievement profiles and learning contexts assessments are crucially dependent on the quality of the data collected by each participating and benchmarking entity. Whereas the development of the assessments is an intensely collecting all of the partners in the enterprise, the process of administering the assess collecting the data is uniquely the responsibility of each individual country or benchmarking partners.

To ensure the consistency and uniformity of approach necessary for high-quality, international comparable data, all participants are expected to follow a set of standardized operations proced procedures have been developed through a partners S1l8aOa7 ()ru(12.7&1s6 (p)-4.(e) 1 (.(e) 1 T from participating countries. The major steps of the operations and procedures are similar from assessment cycle to the next. However, with each assessment cycle the operations procedures to enhance efficiency and accuracy and reduce burden, making use of developments in infortechnology to automate routine activities wherever possible.

Each new assessment cycle also brings something new and unique requiring the operation procedures to be adapted. For example, the 2019 cycle of TIMSS began the transition to digital (known as eTIMSS) with about half of the participating countries switching from the previous based version (known as paperTIMSS) to the new digital format. Adapting operational procedures new assessment mode and integrating the workflow into the existing TIMSS operations was a undertaking. In order to control for any assessment mode effects, in addition to the usual representative sample, countries transitioning to eTIMSS were required to administer bridge instruments to an extra, equivalent sample of students, which also required integrating operations procedures into the overall TIMSS 2019 assessment administration.

In each country or benchmarking entity, the National Research Coordinator was responsible implementation of TIMSS 2019. Internationally, National Research Coordinators provided the coperspective in all international discussions, represented the country at international meetings, the responsible contact persons for all project activities. Locally, National Research Coordinat responsible for implementing all the internationally agreed-upon procedures and facilitating all national decisions regarding TIMSS, including any adaptations for the national context.

The daily tasks of the National Research Coordinators varied over the course of the TIMS cycle. In the initial phases, National Research Coordinators participated in the TIMSS 2019 ass frameworks and assessment development processe(se) and collaborated with Statistics Canada and IEA Hamburg in developing a plan to implement the TIMSS 2019 sampling design within the corresponding entity (see Chapter 3).

Following the development of the draft achievement items and context questionnaires, conducted a full-scale field test of all instruments and operational procedures in March through in preparation for the TIMSS 2019 data collection, which took place in October through Decem in Southern Hemisphere countries, and in March through June 2019 in Northern Hemisphere countries are well as providing crucial data to support finalization of the assessment instruments (achievems and questionnaires), the field test enabled the National Research Coordinators and their become acquainted with the operational activities. The feedback they provided was used to the procedures for the data collection. As expected, the field test resulted in some enhance survey operations procedures, especially for eTIMSS which was new for the 2019 assessment contributed to ensuring the successful execution of TIMSS 2019.

As part of ongoing efforts to improve operations, the National Research Coordinators were to complete a Survey Activities Questionnaire (SAQ), which sought feedback on all aspects experience conducting TIMSS 2019. The feedback solicited in the SAQ included an evaluation quality of the assessment materials and the effectiveness of the operations procedures and document that TIMSS 2019 Survey Activities Questionnaire are presented in the final section chapter.

# TIMSS 2019 Survey Operations Units, Manuals, and Software

eest st6ests o3 (n e)3 (o)-o4xd tiO1 ()n ates seQ), w (i)s 4.5 (iP) (o)-o 0.5 (ao-4.3 (c)75 ( o)7

Coordinators could translate and adapt to their local situations. Often, separate versions of the manuals were provided for paperTIMSS and for eTIMSS. The TIMSS & PIRLS International Study C and IEA Hamburg also provided National Research Coordinators and their staff with intensive t in constructed response item scoring and data management.

IEA Hamburg was responsible for the development of the eTIMSS software system, or eAss System (see Chapter 4). Hosted on IEA Hamburg's servers, the eAssessment System con an integrated series of software modules for authoring achievement items (eTIMSS Item Detranslating and verifying assessment instruments (eTIMSS Online Translation System), check suitability of computers for eTIMSS (eTIMSS System Check Program), administering the assess students (eTIMSS Player), monitoring the upload of student response and process data (eTIMS Data Monitor), and scoring constructed response items (eTIMSS Online Scoring System, also killeds CodingExpert Software).

In addition to the eAssessment System and consistent with the goal of automating and streprocedures wherever possible, IEA Hamburg provided National Research Coordinators in both eT and paperTIMSS countries with a range of custom-built software products to support project These included the Windfowishin-School Sampling Software (WinW3S) for sampling and tracking classes and students; the IEA Online SurveySystem (OSS) for administering school, teacher, ar questionnaires online; the IEA CodingExpert Software for documenting scoring reliability; and the Data Management Expert (DME) software for creating and checking data files.

The TIMSS 2019 Survey Operations Procedures

Unit 2 was accompanied by field test versions of the School Coordinator and Test Administrator Manuals for paperTIMSS and eTIMSS, instructions on Preparing Computer and/or Tablets for eTIMSS, and a National Quality Control Monitor Manual.

In addition to the manuals, IEA Hamburg provided field test versions of the WinW3S wit school sampling software, the OSS online survey system for questionnaire administration and the DME data management software.

eTIMSS countries also were provided with field test versions of the following systems: eTIMSS System Check Program, eTIMSS Online Translation System, eTIMSS Player, eTIMS Online Data Monitor, and eTIMSS Online Scoring System (IEAs CodingExpert Software).

#### TIMSS 2019 Survey Operations Procedures Unit 3: Contacting Schools and Sampling Classes for the TIMSS 2019 Data Collection

Unit 3 was accompanied by the main data collection versions of the School Coordinator Manual and the WinW3S within-school sampling software and its manual. eTIMSS count also received the eTIMSS System Check Program and instructions on Preparing Computand/or Tablets for eTIMSS, which provided the necessary information and tools for cout to test their devices for eTIMSS compatibility and prepare them for eTIMSS data collections.

# TIMSS 2019 Survey Operations Procedures Unit 4: Preparing the TIMSS 2019 Assessment Instruments

Separate versions of Unit 4 were provided for paperTIMSS and eTIMSS countries; the la also received a manual on preparing the paper bridge booklets. The eTIMSS version provided access to the eTIMSS Online Translation System, which enabled National Research Coordinators to translate the eTIMSS achievement items into their language(s) of instruction The translated materials were available online for translation and layout verification by Hamburg and the TIMSS & PIRLS International Study Center (see Chapter 5).

Unit 4 was accompanied by the main data collection version of the OSS online survey system for online administration of the school, teacher, and home (Early Learning Surve questionnaires.

#### TIMSS 2019 Survey Operations Procedures Unit 5: Conducting the TIMSS 2019 Data Collection

Unit 5 was accompanied by the main data collection versions of the Test Administrator Manuals for paperTIMSS and eTIMSS, the National Quality Control Monitor Manual, and the International Quality Control Monitor Manual.

eTIMSS countries also received the eTIMSS Player for administering the eTIMSS assess to students and the eTIMSS Online Data Monitor for monitoring the uploading of the data from the player to the IEA Hamburg data server. Each country s eTIMSS Player was customized to contain the country s translations of the eTIMSS assessment items.

TIMSS 2019 Survey Operations Procedures Unit 6: Scoring the TIMSS 2019 Constructed Response Items

Unit 6 was accompanied by the main data collection versions of the TIMSS 2019 scoring guides and IEAs CodingExpert Software (online scoring system) and manuals. The CodingExpert Software was used to facilitate eTIMSS online scoring and the trend and country reliability scoring tasks.

TIMSS 2019 Survey Operations Procedures Unit 7: Creating and Submitting the TIMSS 2019 Databases

Unit 7 was accompanied by the main data collection versions of the DME data manager software, codebooks, and manual. The DME software is used for data entry and data verification.

# TIMSS 2019 Survey Tracking Forms

TIMSS uses a series of tracking forms to document class sampling procedures, assign assign tracking track school, teacher, and student information, including the participation state respondents. The tracking forms also facilitate the data collection and data verification prodifferent tracking forms were used for TIMSS 2019:

Class Listing Form: This form was completed by each sampled school, listing the eligible classes and providing details about the classes, such as the class stream (if applicable) number of students, and the names of teachers.

Student-Teacher Linkage Form: This form was completed for each class sampled, listing names of the students and their teachers, student birth dates, gender, exclusion codes linking the students to their teachers.

Student Tracking Form: This form was created for each class assessed and was completed the Test Administrators during test administration. The Test Administrators used this for verify the assignment of survey instruments to students and to indicate student particles.

Teacher Tracking Form: This form was completed by each sampled school to indicate th completion of the Teacher Questionnaires.

# Operations for Data Collection

The following sections describe the major operational activities coordinated by the National F Coordinators:

Contacting schools and sampling classes

Overseeing translation and preparing assessment instruments

Managing the TIMSS 2019 assessment administration

Scoring the constructed response items

Creating the TIMSS 2019 data files

Two other major TIMSS 2019 operational activities are described in separate chapters publication sampling schoo<u>ls (Chapter 3)</u> and verifying translation and layout of the asses instruments (Chapter 5).

#### Contacting Schools and Sampling Classes

Exhibit 6.1 illustrates the major steps in working with schools to sample classes and prepare for assessment administration. Once the school samples were drawn, National Research Coordinat tasked with contacting schools and encouraging them to take part in the assessments. Dependentional context, this could involve obtaining support from national or regional educational auti Survey Operations Procedures Unit 1 included suggestions on ways to encourage schools to print the assessment.

Exhibit 6.1:

In cooperation with school principals, National Research Coordinators were responsib identifying and training School Coordinators for all participating schools. A School Coordinator

# Overseeing Translation and Preparing Assessment Instruments \_\_\_\_\_\_\_

Similarly,	all	context	questionnaires	(school,	teacher,	student,	and,	for	fourth	grade,

to the national version of the 2015 cycle and to document any layout issues noted during verification.

The documentation was completed and reviewed at various stages of preparing national as instruments. Version I of the forms and online documentation was completed during the in translation and review process and sent along with the rest of the materials for international verification. After translation verification, the documentation (Version II) was updated in respons translation verifier's comments, reflecting any changes resulting from the verification, and sent the national assessment instruments for layout and adaptations verification. Following layout ve the national instruments and documentation were finalized (Version III) and submitted to IEA a TIMSS & PIRLS International Study Center.

#### Managing the Administration of the TIMSS 2019 Assessments

Preparing and distributing assessment materials to the participating schools required careful organizations and planning on the part of the National Research Coordinators. The assessment materials were and sent to the School Coordinators prior to testing, giving ample time for the School Coordinators confirm the receipt and correctness of the materials. The school and teacher questionnaires distributed, and the other instruments were kept in a secure room until the testing date.

Each sampled class was assigned a Test Administrator who followed procedures described in Administrator Manual to administer the assessments and student questionnaire. Test Administration in most cases chosen and trained by School Coordinators, and in some cases, the School Coordinators doubled as the Test Administrator.

once the time allowed had expired. There was a required break between the two parts of a administration. The break was not to exceed 30 minutes. Students who completed part 1 or page 10 minutes are students as 10 minutes and 10 minutes and 10 minutes are students as 10 minutes are students are students as 10 minutes are students are students. assessment before the allotted time were not allowed to leave the testing room and were ask their answers or read quietly. Some Test Administrators provided activity sheets for these stu

Following the administration of the TIMSS assessment, students were provided 30 mir complete the student questionnaire with extra time provided to students who needed it. Follows administration of the eTIMSS assessment, students also took a short computer-based ques about their experiences and attitudes toward using a computer. During administration of th grade student questionnaire, Test Administrators were permitted to read the questionnaire ite together with the students.

eTIMSS was mostly administered via individual USB sticks on individual eTIMSS compat

#### Online Administration of the School, Teacher, and Home Questionnaires

Countries could choose to administer the school, teacher, and home questionnaires online. The of administering the questionnaires online included saving money and time in printing, and impl the efficiency of questionnaire distribution, data entry, and data cleaning.

For the online administration of the questionnaires, IEA H e admi-5.9 (a en)19Rsterir,

	he Constructed ed response item			rtion of the TI	MSS assessme	ents and h
Constructe	eu response item	з тергезепт а з	substantiai po	THOIT OF THE TI	10100 0000001110	ints, and b

required scorers of TIMSS 2019 to score student responses collected in 2015. The scores from then compared with the scores awarded in 2015. Trend reliability scoring was conducted us CodingExpert Software provided by IEA Hamburg.

Student responses included in the trend reliability scoring (200 responses per item) we student responses to 22 fourth grade items (13 items for the less difficult mathematics asserved or 27 eighth grade items (4 item blocks) from the TIMSS trend assessment blocks collected or TIMSS 2015 assessment administration in each country and benchmarking entity. These responses and provided to each participating country and benchmarking entity, and were scorled to Coding Expert Software. All scorers who scored the trend assessment blocks in 2019 were to participate in the trend reliability scoring. If all scorers were trained to score all trend is software divided the student responses equally among the scorers. If scorers were trained to sassessment blocks, National Research Coordinators were able to specify within the software whould score particular blocks, and the software allocated the student responses accordingly. the within-country reliability scoring, the trend reliability scoring had to be integrated within the scoring procedure.

Finally, cross-country reliability scoring gave an indication about how consistently the scoring were applied from one country to the next. The cross-country reliability scoring also was cousing IEAs CodingExpert Software. Student responses included in the cross-country reliability (200 responses per item) were student responses to 22 fourth grade items (17 items for the mathematics assessment) and/or 27 eighth grade items. The same items were used for the treliability study. Student responses were collected from the English-speaking countries during the 2015 assessment administration. All scorers who could score student responses written in Enguired to participate in the cross-country reliability scoring, and the student responses we divided among the participating scorers in each country. In most countries, the scoring exercompleted immediately after all other scoring activities.

## Creating the TIMSS 2019 Databases

The data entry process took place from March to May 2018 for the field test, from Decemb

	achievement server imme			

CHAPTER 6: SURVEY OPERATIONS PROCEDURES
METHODS AND PROCEDURES: TIMSS 2019 TECHNICAL REPORT 6.16

### Exhibit 6.3: Survey Activities Questionnaire, Section One Sampling (Numbers of NRC Responses)

Question	Yes	No	Not Answered

to using the eTIMSS Online Translation System, receiving the eTIMSS Player, and preparing US order to deliver eTIMSS to schools and students.

Question	Yes	No	Not Answered	
Context questionst (OTfOTc9Tw @(No)TpoYE(No)Tp 8Span	ActualTextREF	<b></b>	S \$panlActualTextREF <b>®</b> DC (	)T <u>I</u> MC 33 <b>8</b> Tf <b>CT</b> v
				-
				•
				-
				•
				•
				-



Question	Yes	No	Not Answered
Learning to Read Survey ID labels	1	48	1 (Not Answered) 15 (Not Applicable)
Student Tracking Forms	3	59	1 (Not Answered) 2 (Not Applicable)
Teacher Questionnaires	3	59	1 (Not Answered) 2 (Not Applicable)
Teacher Tracking Forms	0	59	1 (Not Answered) 5 (Not Applicable)
School Questionnaire	0	63	1 (Not Answered) 1 (Not Applicable)
School Coordinator Manual(s)	3	57	1 (Not Answered) 4 (Not Applicable)
Test Administrator Manual(s)	0	61	2 (Not Answered) 2 (Not Applicable)
If any errors were detected, did you correct the error(s) before the testing began?	19	22	4 (Not Answered) 20 (Not Applicable)
id you provide access to the Data Protection Declaration provided by IEA and/or prepared by your country) to espondents in your country?	30	34	1
oes your country have a confidentiality policy that estricts putting respondents names on tracking forms anssessment instrument covers?	d 16	48	1
id you encounter any problems translating and/or adapting ne School Coordinator Manual(s)?	9 6	58	1
id you encounter any problems translating and/or adapting ne Test Administrator Manual(s)?	9 6	57	1
Vere most/all School Coordinators appointed from within tarticipating schools?	he 56	8	1

Six National Research Coordinators reported difficulties translating the School Coordi Manual and/or the Test Administrator Manual. Primarily, problems arose when the manual(s) be reorganized or adapted and the standardized procedures were modified (e.g., no Class Listir or Teacher Tracking Forms were used). Countries administering both eTIMSS and bridge bookle had two sets of manuals to prepare.

In 56 countries, School Coordinators were appointed from within the participating schools remaining countries, School Coordinators were from the national center or were contracted e In most countries, the National Research Coordinators organized centralized training sessions for Coordinators. In others, training was conducted through webinars, regional meetings, and onl written materials. In 37 countries, Test Administrators were trained by the School Coordinator the participating schools. In the remaining countries, Test Administrators were trained by mer the national center staff.

Although the TIMSS administration mostly went well, Test Administrators occasionally rep difficulties. Among the problems documented by Test Administrators were the following: loud outside the classroom, some disruptive students, some students being unfamiliar with some of material, some students having difficulty with the language of the test, some technical prob eTIMSS administration, the length of the student questionnaire in some countries, and some con that the test was too long or that there was not enough time to complete it.

Less than half the countries that administered the school, teacher, and/or home questionnai reported issues. The great majority of these issues related to typos or user error when typing or login information. For some countries, the problem was easily solved by providing direct link correct web address.

In most countries administering eTIMSS, an additional person helped the Test Administr during the eTIMSS testing sessions. This was usually the classroom teacher, School Coordinat thmrw 6 (s)-33 (e (l)7 )-5.8cof -0.(6 (, -5.8cof -0a)3 (si)513 (e n)]TJ g-86 (c)-p-6.2 0 -1c

# National Quality Control Program

The fourth section of the Survey Activities Questionnaire addressed the National Quality C Program that each country implemented during data collection (sees that tend in the country implemented during data collection (sees the collection data collectio

within the scoring guides. Almost half of National Research Coordinators reported creating th national examples and practice papers for training their scorers, as suggested by the TIMSS International Study Center.



